Molecular systematics of *Lilium* and allied genera (Liliaceae): phylogenetic relationships among *Lilium* and related genera based on the *rbcL* and *matK* gene sequence data

KAZUHIKO HAYASHI^{1,2} and SHOICHI KAWANO^{2*}

¹Biological Laboratory, Osaka Gakuin University, Suita, Osaka, Japan and ²Department of Botany, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

Abstract

Coding regions of the *rbcL* and *matK* genes of cpDNA were sequenced to analyze phylogenetic relationships of the family Liliaceae sensu stricto, including the major 16 genera of Medeoloideae and Lilioideae of the Liliaceae, in reference to several genera such as Scoliopus, Uvularia, Disporum, and Trillium used as outgoups. The results were congruent with the taxonomic concept of Liliaceae sensu stricto recently proposed by Tamura (1998). The inter- and infrageneric relationships in the genus Lilium and allied taxa were then analyzed based upon the *rbcL* and *matK* gene sequencing data, using *Medeola* and *Erythronium* as outgroups. The *rbcL* gene has evolved more slowly than *matK* and its phylogenetic resolution has been poor as a result of the low base substitution rates; whereas the *matK* gene has shown a much higher base substitution: 104 variable sites (including 80 informative sites) out of 1641 base pairs were detected. In addition, a remarkably high number of indels, i.e. 19 insertion/deletion events, were detected in the matK gene, which provided us with new evidence for structural changes of this gene within the genus Lilium and allied taxa. Phylogenetic analyses based on the majority rule of the sequence data of *matK* gene revealed that the genus *Lilium* consists of three different major clades, including taxa that were placed into different sections by earlier taxonomic treatments, and thus the results of molecular systematic analysis was not congruent with sectional delimitations of the genus Lilium based on the morphological characters. Nomocharis pardanthina and Nomocharis saluenensis were ingroup taxa of Lilium. Notholirion, Cardiocrinum, and Fritillaria turned out to be sister groups to Lilium. An evaluation of the morphological and life-history characteristics was also attempted in light of the molecular phylogeny.

Keywords: Cardiocrinum, Fritillaria, Liliales, Lilium, matK, molecular phylogeny, Nomocharis, Notholirion, rbcL.

Received 16 December 1998; revised 10 August 1999; accepted 10 December 1999

Introduction

The concept of the family Liliaceae has been a subject of considerable dispute in the history of the Monocots taxonomy (Krause 1930; Hutchinson 1959; Dahlgren *et al.* 1985; Takhtajan 1987). In recent years, a number of new

Correspondence: Kazuhiko Hayashi

(Email: lilium@utc.osaka-gu.ac.jp)

*Present address: 303–204 Greentown Makishima, Motoyashiki 51-1, Makishima-cho, Uji, Kyoto 611-0041, Japan Email: s.kawano@ip.media.kyoto-u.ac.jp concepts have been proposed on the delimitation of the Liliaceae *sensu stricto* based on the re-evaluation of morphological traits and various other criteria (Takhtajan 1997; Tamura 1998).

Takhtajan (1987) included the following eight genera in the Liliaceae *sensu stricto: Erythronium* and *Tulipa* (subfamily Tulipeae); *Cardiocrinum, Lilium, Notholirion, Nomocharis, Fritillaria* and *Rhinopetalum* (subfamily Lilieae). However, he regarded *Medeola* as a monotypic genus of the Medeolaceae, and he included *Clintonia* tentatively in the separate family Convallariaceae. His newly proposed system for the family Liliaceae *sensu stricto* (Takhtajan 1997) follows the same concept he proposed in 1987. Tamura (1998), however, recognized two subfamilies in the Liliaceae *sensu stricto*, Medeoloideae (*Clintonia* and *Medeola*) and Lilioideae (*Erythronium*, *Tulipa*, *Gagea* and *Lloydia* (tribe Tulipeae); *Cardiocrinum*, *Lilium*, *Fritillaria*, *Nomocharis* and *Notholirion* (tribe Lilieae)). Thus there are still differing taxonomic viewpoints concerning the members of the family Liliaceae, and of the families within the Liliales.

The genus Lilium, the type genus of the Liliaceae sensu stricto, consists of approximately 100 species that are widespread primarily in the northern hemisphere with a pronounced centering of distribution around southwestern and Himalayan Asia-China (Krause 1930; Comber 1949; Woodcock & Stearn 1950; Dahlgren et al. 1985). The long-standing popularity of the Lilium as ornamental plants is because of their large, showy flowers that often have a strong fragrance. Because of this horticultural interest, breeding studies with an incalculable number of resulting hybrids have been conducted. Among the so-called 'true lilies', four genera -Cardiocrinum, Nomocharis, Notholirion and Lilium - have been included (Buxbaum 1937; Woodcock & Stearn 1950). A phylogenetic tree of the genus Lilium has been proposed based on the results of cytogenetic and interspecific hybridization studies (Lighty 1960, 1968; Asano 1986; Noda 1987).

However, inter- and/or infrageneric classifications of the genus Lilium and allied groups have been an issue of considerable dispute and taxonomic systems have repeatedly changed (see Table 1). Wilson (1925) recognized four subgenera, Notholirion, Cardiocrinum, Eulirion and Lophophorum, and within Eulirion four sections were distinguished. However, in Comber's revision (1949) only two subgenera (Cardiocrinum and Eulirion), and seven sections within Eulirion, were recognized. The most recent classification scheme, that of Liang (1980) distinguishes Lilium, Cardiocrinum, Nomocharis and Notholirion as independent genera and recognizes eight sections in Lilium (Table 1). Sealy (1983) and Liang (1984) monographed the genus Nomocharis, in which Sealy (l. c.) transferred five Nomocharis species into the genus Lilium. This suggests that Nomocharis and some members of Lilium are similar enough to cause taxonomic confusion between these two genera.

The purpose of the present study is two-fold. First, the study aims to re-evaluate the systematic status of the family Liliaceae *sensu stricto* in light of the molecular sequencing data of *rbcL* (a large subunit of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate-carboxylase) and *matK* (matulase) genes of *cp*DNA for 16 genera, including the major members of Medeoloideae and Lilioideae of the Liliaceae, in reference to several other outgroup genera referred to Liliaceae

Reichenbach,	Endlicher,	Baker,	Ascherson & Graebner,	Wilson,	Comber,	Liang,
1030	1000	10/1	C061	C761	1949	1900
Lilium	*Lilium	*Lilium	*Lilium	*Lilium	*Lilium	*Lilium
sect. Lilium	sect. Cardiocrinum	subgenus Notholirion	subgenus Cardiocrinum	subgenus Notholirion	subgenus Cardiocrinum	sect. Martagon
sect. Martagon	sect. Amblirion (=Fritillaria)	subgenus Lilium	subgenus Eulirion	subgenus Cardiocrinum	subgenus <i>Eulirion</i>	sect. Pseudolilliun
	sect. Eulirion	group <i>Eulirion</i>	sect. Martagon	subgenus Lophophorum	sect. Martagon	sect. Archelirion
	sect. Martagon	group Martagon	sect. Isolirion	subgenus Eulirion	sect. Pseudolirium	sect. Asteridium
	sect. Pseudolirium	group Isolirion	sect. Archelirion	sect. Martagon	sect. Liriotypus	sect. Sinomartagon
		group Archelirion	sect. Liriotypus	sect. pseudolirium	sect. Archelirion	sect. Dimorphophyllum
				sect. Archelirion	sect. Sinomartagon	sect. Regalia
				sect. Leucolirion	sect. Leucolirion	sect. Lophophorum
					sect. Daurolirion	sect. Concolor
						sect. Henryi
						*Nomocharis
						*Cardiocrinum
						*Notholirion

sensu lato (Krause 1930). Second, the study aims to analyze the affinities and phylogenetic relationships of taxa that have been referred to *Lilium* and several allied genera by analyzing their *rbcL* and *matK* gene sequences, because inter- or infrageneric delimitation of *Lilium sensu lato* has been a controversial taxonomic issue.

In the course of summarizing all evidence available, an attempt was also made to re-evaluate the diagnostic value of morphological characters and life-history traits of *Lilium* for establishing their systematic positions (Comber 1949) and trends of evolutionary divergence of infrageneric taxa by overlaying these characters on the molecular tree constructed in this study.

Methods

Plant samples

Thirty-five Lilium species belonging to seven sections, one Cardiocrinum species, two Nomocharis species belonging to two sections, one Notholirion species and one Fritillaria species were sampled and analyzed for *rbcL* and *matK* genes. Several other genera analyzed for the *rbcL* and matK gene were also included in order to obtain a general picture of the topology of Liliiflorae (sensu Dahlgren et al. 1985). Erythronium japonicum and Medeola virginiana were used as outgroup taxa, as they have been placed in neighboring positions in the Liliales (Takhtajan 1997; Tamura 1998). Several additional genera analyzed for the *rbcL* and matK gene were also included in order to obtain a general picture of the topology of Liliiflorae (sensu Dahlgren et al. 1985). Voucher specimens of the plants analyzed are deposited in the Herbarium of Kyoto University (KYO). The *rbcL* and *matK* sequencing data of all the species and outgroup used in this study are registered in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) (Table 2).

DNA extraction

Total genomic DNA was extracted from silica-gel-dried leaves using the CTAB method of Doyle & Doyle (1987), except that liquid nitrogen was used to assist in the grinding of plant tissue. In many cases, the same DNA as those used in recent *cp*DNA restriction site analyses (Shinwari *et al.* 1994; Kato *et al.* 1995) were used to generate *matK* sequences.

Polymerase chain reaction for the rbcL gene

The PCR employed to amplify the 1411 bp of the *rbcL* gene used two primers that anneal to the 5' end, *rbcLN*': 5'-ATGTCACCACAAACAGAAACT-3', and just downstream of the 3' end of the *rbcL* coding region, DBRBAS2: 5'-GCTTGAATTCGAATTTGATC-3'. To obtain the

sequence of the 5' end of rbcL gene, PCR was conducted using an additional primer that anneals to the $atp\beta$ ($atp\beta$ 2325'-CCGTCCGTAGCATCATAGC-3'), upstream from the *rbcL* gene (Table 3). The amplification reaction mixture (100 mL) contained 50-100 ng of total DNA, 40 pmol of each primer, 0.2 mmol/L of dNTP, 50 mmol/L KCl, 10 mmol/L Tris HCl pH 8.8, 1.5 mmol/L MgCl₂, 0.1% Triton X-100 (McPherson et al. 1991, 1995) and 2.0 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Wako Chemicals, Tokyo, Japan). Amplification was conducted in a DNA Thermal Cycler (Cetus Model; Perkin Elmer, Cetus, CA, USA) for 35 cycles. Each cycle consisted of a denaturing step of 1 min at 94°C, an annealing step of 2 min at 54°C and an extension step of 3 min at 72°C. After the last cycle, a final extension step (10 min, 72°C) was added. The amplified DNA was subjected to electrophoresis through 1% agarose gel and excised from the gel. The DNA was purified by glass-milk extraction (Gene Clean II, Bio101; Vista, CA, USA) and re-suspended in 20 mL of TE (10 mmol/L Tris-HCl pH8.0, 1 mmol/L EDTA). The final yield averaged about 4 mg of DNA, enough for eight sequencing reactions.

Polymerase chain reaction of matK gene

The *matK* gene was amplified using the Taq polymerase (Toyobo, Tokyo, Japan) and universal primers, *trnK*-3914FM and *trnK*-2R of Johnson & Soltis (1995). PCR sequence primers used in the present study are shown in Table 3 (Johnson & Soltis 1995; Ooi *et al.* 1995; Yoshida, unpublished data).

For the PCR amplification, each reaction mixture (100 μ L) contained 54 μ L of sterile water, 10 μ L of 10 × Taq polymerase reaction buffer (Toyobo), 10 µL of 25 mmol/L MgCl₂, 16 µL of 1.25 mmol/L dNTP (Toyobo), 4 µL of each of the two primers (40 pmol), 0.4 µL (2 units) of Taq polymerase (Toyobo), and 2µL of genomic DNA template (50-100 ng). Amplification was done in a DNA Thermal Cycler (Perkin Elmer) for 35 cycles. Each PCR cycle proceeded in the following manner: (i) 1 min at 94°C to denature the double-stranded template DNA; (ii) 2 min at 50°C to anneal primers to single-stranded DNA; and (iii) 3 min at 72°C to extend primers. The first cycle was preceded by an initial denaturation step of 2 min at 94°C; a final extension at 72°C for 7 min followed completion of the 35 cycles. Each set of reactions was monitored by the inclusion of a negative (no template) control.

To remove unused amplifying primers and dNTP, the PCR product was electrophoresed in a 1% agarose gel (using $1 \times TAE$ as the gel buffer) stained with ethidium bromide and then excised under low-wave-length ultraviolet light with a scalpel.

Following cycle sequencing, the reactions were purified using the 'Ethanol Precipitation Protocol 1' (manufac-

				DDBJ accessio	n number
Genus	Species	Locality	Collector(s)	rbcL	matK
Trillium	T. underwoodii Small	USA: Florida, Gaden Co., Flat Riner	M. Ohra et al.	_	AB017412
	T. grandiflorum (Michaux) Salisb.	USA: Pennsylvania, Westmoreland Co.	S. Kawano et al.	D28164	-
Scoliopus	S. bigelovii Torr.	USA: California, Humboldt Co.	S. Kawano et al.	D28162	AB024394
Clintonia	C. borealis (Alt.) Rafin	USA: Wisconsin, Marathon Co.	S. Kawano et al.	D17372	AB024542
Amana	A. edulis (Miq.) Honda	Japan: Tokyo	M. Iiizumi	AB024385	AB024388
Gagea	G. lutea (L.) Ker-Gawl.	Japan: Akita Pref., Tubaki	Y. Horii	AB024389	_
Uvularia	U. floridana Chapman	USA: Florida, Gadsen Co., Flat Creak	S. Kawano	AB009949	AB024396
Disporum	D. sessile Don	Japan: Toyama Pref., Mt. Tateyama	S. Kawano	D17376	AB024543
Tulipa	T. turkestanica	Turkey	unknown	AB037378	AB024386
Medeola	M. virginiana L.	USA: Pennsylvania, Somerset Co.	S. Kawano	D28158	AB024543
Erythronium	E. japonicum Decne.	Japan: Toyama Pref., Yatsuo-machi	S. Kawano	D28156	AB024387
Fritillaria	F. koidzumiana Ohwi	Japan: Toyama Pref., Yatsuo-machi	K. Hayashi	AB034939	AB024390
Notholirion	N. thomsonianum (Royle) Stapf**	Western Himalaya	unknown	AB034919	AB024390
Cardiocrinum	C. cordatum (Thunb.) Makino	Japan: Osaka Pref., Nikawabe	K. Hayashi	AB034918	AB024390
Nomocharis	N. pardanthina Franch.**	China: Yunnan	unknown	_	AB030842
	N. saluenensis Balf. f.*	China: Yunnan	Yurigahara	AB034938	AB024391
Lilium	Section Martagon		0		
	L. hansonii Leichtlin	Korea: Isl. Ullung	S. Kawano et al.	AB034930	AB030871
	L. martagon L.*	South Germany	Yurigahara	-	AB030872
	L. medeoloides A. Gray	Japan: Akita Pref., Maki	K. Hayashi	AB034931	AB030873
	L. tsingtauense Gilg.	Korea: Mt. Haein	K. Hayashi et al.	-	AB030874
	Section <i>Pseudolilium</i>				
	L. columbianii Hanson	USA: Oregon, Manrion Forks	K. Hayashi et al.	AB034927	AB030847
	L. washingtonianum Kellog	USA: Oregon, Trillium Creek	K. Hayashi et al.	-	AB030848
	L. pardalinum Kellog.*	USA: Oregon	E. Mirro	-	AB030845
	L. superbum L.	USA: Pennsylvania, Westmorland Co. Donegal Township	K. Hayashi et al.	AB034926	AB024546
	L. michiganense Farwell*	USA: Oregon	E. Mirro	_	AB030844
	L. canadense L.	USA: New Hampshere, Swiftwater	K. Hayashi et al.	-	AB030843
	L. philadelphicum L.	USA: Pennsylvania, Penn Roosevelt State Park	K. Hayashi et al.	AB034925	AB030846
	L. philadelphicum var.	Canada: Ontario, Algonquin Provincial Park	K. Hayashi et al.	_	AB037377
	andinum (Nutt) Ker-Gawle		-		

Table 2 Plant material analysed in the present study

Section Liliotypus				
L. bulbiferum L.*	South Germany	Yurigahara	AB034929	AB030864
L. candidum L.*	Palestine	Yurigahara	AB034928	AB024545
L. pomponium L.*	South France	F. D. Hanson	-	AB030865
L. pyrenicum Gouan	South-west France	Yurigahara	-	AB030866
Section Archelirion				
L. alexsandrae hort. Wallace	Japan: Kagoshima Pref., Amami-Oshima	K. Hayashi	AB034920	AB030849
L. japonicum Thunb.	Japan: Osaka Pref., Kobuka	K. Hayashi	AB034921	AB030850
L. nobilissimum Makino**	Japan: Kagoshima Pref., Kuchino-Shima	unknown	-	AB030851
L. rubellum Baker	Japan: Niigata Pref., Kirinzan	H. Kato	-	AB030852
L. speciosum Thunb.	Japan: Fukuoka Pref., Munakata	K. Hayashi	AB034922	AB030853
Section Sinomartagon				
L. cailosum Sieb.	Japan: Fukuoka Pref., Hiraodai	K. Hayashi	-	AB030854
L. cernuum Komar.*	Republic of Korea	Yurigahara	-	AB030855
L. pumilum Delile**	China: Jilin	unknown	-	AB030857
L. concolor Salisb.*	Japan: Kochi Pref.	unknown	-	AB030856
L. henryi Baker.**	Central China	unknown	AB034924	AB030858
L. duchartrei Franch.**	South-west China	unknown	-	AB030862
L. lancifolium Thunb. (2X)	Japan: Nagasaki Pref., Komota	K. Hayashi	AB034937	AB030859
L. leichtlinii var. maximowiczii	Japan: Nara Pref., Musashi	K. Hayashi	AB034932	AB030860
(Regel) Baker				
L. rosthomii Diels.**	China: Sichuan	unknown	-	AB030861
L. bakerianum Coll. et Hemst.**	China: Yunnan	unknown	AB034923	AB024544
L. nanum Klotzsch*	China: Yunnan	unknown	-	AB030863
L. mackliniae Sealy*	India: Manipur	unknown	-	AB030877
L. fargeslii Franch.**	China: Yunnan	unknown	-	AB030878
Section Leucolirion				
L. sargentiae Wils.	China: Sichuan	S. Sakamoto	-	AB030870
L. regale Wilson.**	China: Sichuan	unknown	-	AB030869
L. formosanum Wallace	Thailand: Mt. Keitou	M. Shimizu	AB034933	AB030867
L. longiflorum Thunb.	Japan: Okinawa Pref., Ryukyu Islands, Gaja	S. Noda	AB034934	_
L. leucanthum Baker*	China: Gansu	unknown	-	AB030868
Section Daurolirion				
L. maculatum Thunb.	Japan: Shizuoka Pref., Shimoda	K. Hayashi	AB034932	AB030875
L. maculatum ssp. dauricum (Baker)	Japan: Hokkaido, Esan Nanatsuiwa	K. Hayashi	AB034935	AB030876
Hara				

*Material collected from cultivated plants in Yurigahara Park. **Material collected from cultivated plants which I bought from a commercial farm.

	Primer	Sequence	Location	Strand	Designed by
rbcL					
	rbcL N'	5'-ATGTCACCACCACAAACAGAAACT-3'	1-18	sense	Terachi et al. 1987
	S1	5'-AGGACGATGCTACCACATCG-3'	243-263	sense	Terachi et al. 1987
	S2	5'-AAAACTTTCCAAGGCCC-3	435-451	sense	Terachi et al. 1987
	S3	5'-TTTATGCGTTGGAGAGACCG-3'	631-650	sense	Terachi et al. 1987
	S4	5'-AATGCATGCAGTTATTG-3'	887-903	sense	Terachi et al. 1987
	S5	5'-GGTATTCATGTTTGGCA-3'	1141-1158	sense	Terachi et al. 1987
	DBRBAS1	5'-TTACAGCTTGTACACACGC-3'	1295-1276	sense	Terachi et al. 1987
	DBRBAS2	5'-GCTTGAATTCGAATTTGATC-3'	1411-1392	antisense	Terachi et al. 1987
	TRRV1	5'-TAGAGACCCAATCTTGAGTG-3'	1111-1092	antisense	Terachi et al. 1987
	RV5	5'-CCGTAGTTCTTTGCGGATAA-3'	557-538	antisense	Terauchi et al. unpubl.
	RV4	5'-TCAGTCCACACAGTTGTCCA-3'	215-196	antisense	Terauchi et al. unpubl.
	<i>atp</i> β 232	5'-CCGTCCGTAGCATCATAGC-3'	<i>atp</i> β 232	antisense	Howe <i>et al.</i> 1985; Moon <i>et al.</i> 1987
matK					
F1	trn K-3914FM	5'-ATCTGGGTTGCTAACTCAATGG-3'	4-19	sense	Johnson & Soltis, 1994
F2	mat K-FF74	5'-ATACCCTGTTCGGACCATATTG-3'	669–689	sense	Yoshida & Hayashi*
F3	mat K-FL32	5'-CTGTCCTCCGTAAGAAC-3'	713–732	sense	Yoshida & Hayashi*
F4	mat K-AF	5'-CTATATCCACTTATCTTTCAGGAGT-3'	804-828	sense	Ooi et al. 1995
F5	mat K-BFM	5'-TCAAAGGGATTTGCGTTTATTGTGG-3'	1038-1062	sense	Hayashi, 1998
F6	mat K-EF1	5'-TCAAAGGGATTTGCGTTTATTGTGG-3'	1250-1270	sense	Youshida upubl.
F7	mat K-EF2	5'-CTCATGAAGAAATGGAGATATTACC-3'	1638-1662	sense	Yoshida unpubl.
F8	mat K-CF	5'-TTGATCGATTTGGTCGGATATGTAG-3'	2057-2080	sense	Yoshida & Hayashi*
R1	trnK-2R	5'-AACTAGTCGGATGGAGTAG-3'	2573-2554	antisense	Steele & Vilgalys, 1994
R2	mat K-8R	5'-AAAGTTCTAGCACAAGAAAGTCGA-3'	2080-2057	antisense	Ooi <i>et al.,</i> 1995
R3	mat K-RM	5'-CTACATATCCGACCAAATCGATCAA-3'	1990-1966	antisense	Hayashi, 1998
R4	mat K-ER1	5'-CATCTTGAATCCAGTATTGAAGG-3'	1662-1638	antisense	Yoshida unpubl.
R5	mat K-ER2	5'-GGTAATATCTCCATTTCTTCATGAG-3'	1270-1250	antisense	Yoshida unpubl.
R6	mat K-AR	5'-CTGTTGATACATTCGA-3'	956–941	antisense	Yoshida & Hayashi*

Table 3 PCR sequence primers used in the present study. Location of the 5' end base of the primer is indicated with regard to the site number of the *Nicotiana tabacum trn K* and *matL* gene (Sugita *et al.* 1985)

*Designed in this study. The location was based on the starting position of trn K (5').

turer's instructions: Perkin Elmer, Revision A, August 1995) or the 'Ethanol/Sodium Acetate Precipitation Protocol' (Perkin-Elmer, 1997) to remove unincorporated dye terminators and then completely dried in a vacuum. The reaction pellets were resuspended in 4 μ L of loading buffer (five parts deionized foramide to one part 50 mmol/L EDTA (pH=8.0)) and analyzed in an Applied Biosystems 373 A DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using 6% acrylamide gel run in 1× TBE buffer.

DNA sequencing of the rbcL and matK genes

For sequencing the *rbcL* and *matK* genes, purified doublestranded DNA were then used in cycle sequencing reactions that were conducted using the PrismTM Dye Deoxy Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit or ABI PrismTM BIG Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Biosystems). The cycle sequencing reaction mixture contained 80 or 40 ng of template DNA, 8µL of terminator premix, 3µL of primers (3.2 pmol) and the appropriate amount of sterile water for a total volume of $20\,\mu$ L. The cycle sequencing involved 25 cycles of denaturation for 30 s at 96°C, annealing for 15 s at 50°C and extension for 4 min at 60°C. Reaction mixtures were subsequently stored at 4°C.

The location and base composition of each of the primers used in this study are given in Table 3. Following cycle sequencing, the reactions were purified using the 'Ethanol Precipitation Protocol 1' (Perkin Elmer) to remove unincorporated dye terminators and then completely dried in a vacuum. The reaction pellets were resuspended in 6µL of loading buffer (five parts deionized foramide to one part 25 mmol/L EDTA-blue dextrine mixture) and analyzed in an ABI Prism[™] 377 DNA Sequencer using 50% Long Ranger gel solution (Applied Biosystems) run in 1 × TBE buffer. For sequencing the *rbcL* gene, the purified double-stranded PCR product was used as a template for direct sequencing with an autosequencer (ABI 373 A) and Taq Dye Deoxy terminator cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Data analysis of rbcL and matK genes

The *matK* sequences were visually aligned with Seq Ed version 1.0.3 (Applied Biosystems); the few insertion/ deletion events (indels) did not hinder alignment. Each indel was treated as a missing character or scored conservatively as a single evolutionary event in separate analyses. Phylogenetic analyses using the maximum parsimony method were performed with PAUP version 3.1.1 (Swofford 1993). The most parsimonious trees were obtained using the heuristic search option involving 100 replications of random addition sequence and treebisection-reconnection (TBR) branch-swapping. All characters were specified as unweighted. To obtain confidence limits for various clades, bootstrap analysis (Felsenstein 1995) was conducted. Bootstrap values with 1000 replications were calculated using the heuristic search option (with TBR branch-swapping and simple addition sequence algorithms).

Results

Phylogeny of Liliaceae sensu stricto *as revealed by* rbcL *gene sequencing data*

Partial sequences of *rbcL* gene (1390 bp) were determined for 14 selected taxa, including *Erythronium*, *Gagea*, *Amana*, *Tulipa*, *Cardiocrinum*, *Notholirion*, *Fritillaria*, *Lilium*, *Nomocharis*, *Medeola* and *Clintonia*, using *Scoliopus*, *Trillium*, *Disporum* and *Uvularia* as outgroups. A total of 180 variable nucleotide positions was detected among the ingroup taxa; 114 of these were potentially informative. A strict consensus tree (50% majority rule consensus tree) of the *rbcL* gene with its bootstrap values is shown in Fig. 1. The tree showed two major clades, one consisting of five genera – *Notholirion*, *Cardiocrinum*, *Fritillaria*, *Lilium*, and *Nomocharis* – and a second consisting of four genera – *Erythronium*, *Tulipa*, *Amana* and *Gagea*. *Medeola* and *Clintonia* are obviously somewhat a distantly related sister group.

Phylogeny of Liliaceae sensu stricto *as revealed by* matK *gene sequencing data*

The results of phylogenetic analysis using the *matK* gene for 14 selected genera, including *Erythronium*, *Gagea*, *Amana*, *Tulipa*, *Cardiocrinum*, *Notholirion*, *Fritillaria*, *Lilium*, *Nomocharis*, *Medeola*, *Clintonia* and *Scoliopus*, using *Disporum*, *Uvularia* and *Trillium* as outgroups, clearly revealed the phylogenetic positions of genera referred to Liliaceae *sensu stricto* and their infra-familial positions. The *matK* tree (50% majority rule consensus tree) obtained is shown in Fig. 2. The tree obtained for *matK* was very similar to the *rbcL* tree (Fig. 2) which showed two major clades, one consisting of five genera – *Notholirion*, *Cardiocrinum*, *Frit*- *illaria, Lilium* and *Nomocharis* – and a second consisting of four genera – *Erythronium, Tulipa, Amana* and *Gagea. Medeola* and *Clintonia* are a distantly related sister group.

Sequence variation and divergence rates in rbcL gene

The 18 Lilium species and one species each of the following four genera, Nomocharis, Notholirion, Fritillaria and Cardiocrinum, and also including Erythronium and Medeola were sequenced for *rbcL* gene (Table 2). Topology obtained by the MP method for the rbcL gene tree (50% majority rule consensus tree) is illustrated in Fig.3. The results of phylogenetic analysis showed that Lilium, Cardiocrinum, Nomocharis, Notholirion and Fritillaria constituted a single large clade with a high bootstrap value of 92%, which at least indicates a close relationship of these genera that have been referred to the Liliaceae (sensu Krause 1930; Takhtajan 1997) (Fig. 3). However, the phylogenetic resolution of these genera and species analyzed was exceedingly poor as a result of low base-substitution rates in rbcL. The rbcL gene should therefore be considered to be highly conserved, at least within this group of Liliales.



Fig. 1 The 50% majority-rule consensus tree obtained from the phylogenetic analysis of *rbcL* gene sequences for 17 taxa of Liliaceae and *Trillium* as an outgroup (\times 1000 replications). The length of the shortest tree (L) was 282 steps; a consistency index (CI), 0.794; a homoplasy index (HI), 0.206; and a retention index (RI), 0.791. Percentages above branches are bootstrap values.



Fig. 2 The 50% majority-rule consensus tree obtained from the phylogenetic analysis of *matK* gene sequences 17 taxa of Liliaceae and Trillium as an outgroup. Percentages above branches are bootstrap values (\times 1000 replications). The length of the shortest tree (L) was 642 steps; a consistency index (CI), of 0.824, a homoplasy index (HI) of 0.176, and a retention index (RI) of 0.827.

Among the 18 *Lilium* taxa sequenced, relatedness was shown for only three pairs of species as follows. (i) *L. japonicum and L. speciosum*, with a bootstrap value of 70%; (ii) *L. superbum and L. candidum*, with a bootstrap value of 77%; and (iii) *L. formosanum and L. longiflorum*, with a bootstrap value of 81%. The first and third clades matched the topology obtained by *matK* gene sequencing data but *L. superbum* (section *Pseudolilium*) and *L. candidum* (section *Liriotypus*) demonstrated affinities with different species belonging to different clades in the *matK* tree (cf. Fig. 4).

Sequence variation and divergence rates in matK gene

In the present study, sequencing of the *matK* (1641 bp) gene was conducted for the 39 *Lilium* species and two subspecies, two *Nomocharis*, two *Fritillaria*, one *Notholirion* and one *Cardiocrinum* species with *Medeola virginiana* and *Erythronium japonicum* used as outgroups.



Fig. 3 The 50% majority-rule consensus tree obtained from the phylogenetic analysis of *rbcL* gene sequences for 22 taxa of Liliaceae *sensu stricto* and *Medeola* and *Erythronium* as outgroups. Percentages above branches are bootstrap values. The length of the shortest tree (L) was 144 steps, a consistency index (CI) of 0.583, a homoplasy index (HI) of 0.417, and a retention index (RI) of 0.286.

The matK gene tree (50% majority rule consensus) obtained is shown in Fig.4. The genus Lilium is now divided into seven (Comber 1949) or 10 sections (Liang 1980) (only for Chinese taxa) (cf. Table 1). Thus in this study at least one or more taxa of each section, taxa of closely related genera - Nomocharis, Cardiocrinum, Notholirion and Fritillaria - were selected and their matK gene sequences analyzed. The number of base substitutions ranged from one to 35 among the Lilium and Nomocharis taxa examined (Table 4). Of 42 taxa, including 40 Lilium and two Nomocharis, three distinct clades were distinguished (Fig. 4): (i) including 16 Lilium and two Nomocharis species, with a bootstrap value of 100%; (ii) including 18 Lilium taxa (including two subspecies), with a bootstrap value of 100%; and (iii) including six species, with a bootstrap value of 100%. Fritillaria (100% bootstrap values) was a sister to all Lilium and Nomocharis taxa examined. Furthermore, Cardiocrinum turned out to be a sister group to Lilium, Nomocharis and Fritillaria with a very high bootstrap value of 100%. Notholirion is a sister



Fig. 4 The 50% majority-rule consensus tree obtained from the phylogenetic analysis of matK gene sequences for 49 taxa of Liliaceae sensu stricto, using Medeola and Erythronium as outgroups (× 1000 replications). Percentages above branches are bootstrap values. The length of the shortest tree (L) was 338, a consistency index (CI) of 0.805, a retention index (RI) of 0.813, and a homoplasy index of 0.195. cf. Although L. longiflorum was omitted from the dendrogram due to some undetermined parts included in the base sequence data, this species no doubt forms a pair with L. formosanum, as shown in Fig. 3.

group to the former four genera, with a bootstrap value of 100%.

The first clade can be divided further into three subclades and six isolated species (Fig. 4) as follows. (i) *Nomocharis pardanthina* and *L. bakerianum* formed a pair, with a bootstrap value of 100%; (ii) five species (*L. alexsandrae*, *L. henryi*, *L. leucanthum*, *L. regale* and *L. sargenitae*) constituted a clade, with a bootstrap value of 100%; (iii) five species (*L. japonicum*, *L. nobilissimum*, *L. rosthorni*, *L. speciosum* and *L. nanum*) constituted a clade, with a bootstrap value of 100%; (iv) six species (*Nomocharis salunensis*, *L. philadelphicum*, *L. rubellum*, *L. duchartrei*, *L. fargesii* and *L. mackliniae*) were independent lineages. All these species are distributed from the Japanese Islands to Burma, southwestern China and the Himalayan regions (Sino–Japanese element, Kitamura *et al.* 1957), except for *L. philadelphicum* (including var. *andenum*) which occurs

in western to eastern North America (Fernald 1950; Feldmaier & McRae 1982).

The second clade constitutes a large single clade with a bootstrap value of 100%, with more or less four distinct subclades as follows. (i) The first subclade consists of a pair of species (*L. callosum* and *L. concolor*) with a bootstrap value of 100% (a typical Manchuria–Korean element; Kitamura *et al.* 1957); (ii) the second subclade consists of three Mediterranean species (*L. candidum, L. pyrenaicum* and *L. pomponium*) with a bootstrap value of 100%; (iii) the third subclade consists of a pair of species (*L. matagon*) with a bootstrap value of 100%. All the remaining eight taxa (*L. maculatum* ssp. *dauricum and ssp. maculatum, L. hansonii, L. lancifolium, L. leichtlinii* var. *maximowiczii, L. pumilum, L. tsingtauense, L. bulbiferum* and *L. cernuum*) were parallel, forming no branches. These species are a typical cool-temperate

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1 Medeola virginiana	_	87	91	83	98	87	90	92	90	91	93	97	92	91	91	90	90	93	90	93	92	94	94
2 Erythronium japonicum	0.057	-	86	78	94	81	86	85	86	87	89	91	88	87	87	86	86	89	86	86	85	87	87
3 Notholirion	0.060	0.057	-	28	48	37	36	41	39	40	42	44	41	38	40	41	41	39	41	41	40	42	42
thomsonianum																							
4 Cardiocrinum cordatum	0.055	0.052	0.018	-	39	26	28	30	28	29	31	33	30	29	31	30	30	32	30	30	29	30	31
5 Fritillaria koidzumiana	0.064	0.062	0.031	0.026	-	28	28	32	32	33	33	35	32	29	33	32	32	32	32	32	31	33	33
6 Nomocharis saluenensis	0.057	0.053	0.024	0.017	0.018	-	6	14	14	15	15	13	14	13	9	8	8	10	8	14	13	15	15
7 Nomocharis pardanthina	0.059	0.057	0.024	0.018	0.018	0.004	-	16	16	17	17	15	16	13	11	10	10	10	10	16	15	17	17
8 Lilium canadense	0.060	0.056	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.009	0.010	-	6	7	11	23	12	9	19	18	18	20	18	12	7	13	13
9 Lilium michiganense	0.059	0.057	0.025	0.018	0.021	0.009	0.010	0.004	-	1	7	23	8	5	19	18	18	20	18	18	13	19	19
10 Lilium superbum	0.060	0.057	0.026	0.019	0.021	0.010	0.011	0.005	0.001	-	8	24	9	6	20	19	19	21	19	19	14	20	20
11 Lilium paraalinum	0.061	0.059	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.010	0.011	0.007	0.005	0.005	-	24	22	4	20	19	19	21	19	19	16	20	20
12 Lilium philadelphicum	0.064	0.060	0.029	0.022	0.023	0.008	0.010	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.016	- 0.015	23	22	18	17	17	19	17	23	17	24	24
15 Lilium columbunum	0.060	0.058	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.009	0.010	0.006	0.005	0.006	0.005	0.015	0.002	5	19	10	10	20	10	14	17	10	10
14 Linum wusningio-	0.000	0.037	0.025	0.019	0.019	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.014	0.003	-	10	17	17	17	17	17	14	10	10
15 Lilium alexeandrae	0.060	0.057	0.026	0.020	0.021	0.006	0.007	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.012	_	Q	11	15	9	10	18	20	20
16 Lilium ianonicum	0.000	0.057	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.000	0.007	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.006	_	2	14	ó	18	17	19	19
17 Lilium nobilissimum	0.059	0.057	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.005	0.000	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.012	0.011	0.000	0.001		14	2	18	17	19	19
18 Lilium ruhellum	0.062	0.059	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.003	0.007	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.012	0.011	0.007	0.001	0.009	_	14	20	19	21	21
19 Lilium speciosum	0.059	0.057	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.005	0.006	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.012	0.011	0.006	0.000	0.001	0.009	_	18	17	19	19
20 Lilium callosum	0.061	0.057	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.009	0.010	0.008	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.009	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.012	_	5	1	3
21 Lilium cernuum	0.060	0.056	0.026	0.019	0.020	0.008	0.010	0.005	0.008	0.009	0.010	0.014	0.011	0.009	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.011	0.003	_	6	6
22 Lilium concolor	0.062	0.057	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.010	0.011	0.008	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.016	0.010	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.014	0.012	0.001	0.004	_	4
23 Lilium pumilum	0.062	0.057	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.010	0.011	0.008	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.016	0.010	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.014	0.012	0.002	0.004	0.003	_
24 Lilium henryi	0.059	0.057	0.025	0.020	0.022	0.006	0.008	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.011	0.009	0.013	0.012	0.014	0.014
25 Lilium lancifolium	0.061	0.057	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.009	0.010	0.008	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.009	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.002
26 Lilium leichtlinii var.	0.061	0.057	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.009	0.010	0.008	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.009	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.002
maximowiczii																							
27 Lilium rosthorni	0.057	0.055	0.025	0.018	0.019	0.003	0.005	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.009	0.006	0.002	0.002	0.007	0.002	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.010
28 Lilium duchartrei	0.059	0.057	0.023	0.017	0.019	0.004	0.005	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.008	0.010	0.010	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.008	0.006	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
29 Lilium bakerianum	0.059	0.055	0.025	0.018	0.019	0.003	0.005	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.008	0.006	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.011
30 Lilium nanum	0.058	0.056	0.026	0.019	0.020	0.005	0.006	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.006	0.002	0.002	0.009	0.002	0.011	0.010	0.012	0.012
31 Lilium bulbiferum	0.061	0.057	0.025	0.020	0.019	0.009	0.009	0.008	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.003
32 Lilium canaiaum	0.062	0.057	0.029	0.022	0.022	0.011	0.012	0.010	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.017	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.014	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.008
33 Lilium pomponium	0.062	0.058	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.010	0.012	0.009	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.012	0.012	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.013	0.006	0.007	0.007	0.007
34 Lilium formocomum	0.062	0.057	0.025	0.018	0.020	0.010	0.011	0.008	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.011	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.014	0.012	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
36 Lilium laucanthum	0.060	0.058	0.027	0.021	0.021	0.010	0.010	0.009	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.003	0.007	0.000	0.000
30 Lilium regale	0.059	0.057	0.020	0.021	0.022	0.000	0.008	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.011	0.009	0.013	0.012	0.014	0.014
38 Lilium sargentiae	0.000	0.059	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.000	0.007	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.010	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.010	0.012	0.010	0.010
39 Lilium hansonii	0.000	0.057	0.027	0.022	0.023	0.007	0.000	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.014	0.010	0.002	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.0014	0.013	0.014	0.014
40 Lilium martagon	0.059	0.056	0.026	0.019	0.021	0.009	0.010	0.007	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.011	0.010	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.002
41 Lilium medeoloides	0.060	0.057	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.010	0.011	0.008	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.016	0.010	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.014	0.012	0.003	0.005	0.004	0.004
42 Lilium tsingtauense	0.062	0.058	0.027	0.021	0.022	0.010	0.012	0.009	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.016	0.010	0.012	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.013	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.003
43 Lilium maculatum ssp.	0.061	0.057	0.027	0.020	0.021	0.008	0.009	0.008	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.014	0.009	0.011	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.002
maculatum																							
44 Lilium maculatum ssp.	0.060	0.056	0.026	0.019	0.020	0.008	0.010	0.007	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.014	0.008	0.010	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.011	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.001
45 I ilium mackliniae	0.058	0.055	0.024	0.017	0.018	0.003	0.003	0 009	0 009	0.010	0.010	0.008	0 009	0.008	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.007	0.005	0 009	0.008	0.010	0.010
46 I ilium faroesii	0.060	0.059	0.024	0.017	0.022	0.005	0.003	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.014	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.010	0.010
TO Linum juigeon	0.000	0.059	0.020	0.019	0.044	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.015	0.014	0.014	0.012	0.015	0.012	0.010	0.009	0.009	0.011	0.009	0.015	0.012	0.014	0.014

Table 4 Base pair differences (above diagonal) and number of base substitutions per site (below diagonal, calculated according to Kimura, 1981) of *matK* sequences from 46 taxa of *Lilium* and allied taxa

Table 4 Continued

	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46
1 Medeola virginiana	90	93	93	87	90	90	89	93	94	95	94	92	90	91	91	93	90	92	95	93	92	88	92
2 Erythronium japonicum	87	86	86	83	86	84	85	86	87	88	86	88	88	87	89	86	85	87	88	86	85	84	89
3 Northolirion	39	41	41	38	35	39	40	39	44	41	39	41	40	40	41	41	40	42	42	41	40	37	40
thomsonianum																							
4 Cardiocrinum cordatum	31	30	30	27	26	28	29	30	33	30	28	32	32	31	33	30	29	31	32	30	29	26	29
5 Fritillaria koidzumiana	34	32	32	29	30	30	31	30	33	32	31	32	34	33	35	32	31	33	34	32	31	28	34
6 Nomocharis saluenensis	10	14	14	5	6	4	7	14	17	16	15	16	10	9	11	14	13	15	16	12	13	4	10
7 Nomocharis pardanthina	12	16	16	15	8	8	17	14	19	18	17	16	12	11	13	16	15	17	18	14	15	4	12
8 Lilium canadense	20	12	12	15	16	16	17	12	15	14	13	14	20	19	21	12	11	13	14	12	11	14	20
9 Lutum michigunense	20	10	10	15	10	10	1/	10	21	20	19	20	20	19	21	10	1/	19	20	10	1/	14	20
10 Lutum superbum 11 Lilium pardalinum	21	19	19	16	17	17	10	19	22	21	20	21	21	20	22	19	10	20	21	19	10	15	21
12 Lilium philadelphicum	10	23	23	10	17	17	16	23	26	21	20	25	10	18	20	23	22	20	25	21	22	13	10
12 Lilium columbianum	20	14	14	15	15	16	17	14	10	18	17	16	20	15	20	14	17	15	16	1/	13	1/	20
14 I ilium washinoto-	19	17	17	14	15	15	16	15	20	19	18	17	19	18	20	17	16	18	19	17	16	13	19
nianum	17	17	17		10	10	10	10	20	17	10	17	17	10	20	17	10	10	17	17	10	10	17
15 Lilium alexsandrae	13	19	19	10	11	11	10	19	22	21	20	21	13	12	14	19	18	20	21	17	18	9	15
16 Lilium japonicum	14	18	18	3	10	10	3	18	21	20	19	20	14	13	15	18	17	19	20	16	17	8	14
17 Lilium nobilissimum	14	18	18	3	10	10	3	18	21	20	19	20	14	13	15	18	17	19	20	16	17	8	14
18 Lilium rubellum	16	20	20	11	12	12	13	18	23	22	21	20	16	15	17	20	19	21	22	18	19	10	16
19 Lilium speciosum	14	18	18	3	10	10	3	18	21	20	19	20	14	13	15	18	17	19	20	16	17	8	14
20 Lilium callosum	20	2	2	15	15	16	17	4	11	10	9	8	20	15	21	2	7	5	4	2	1	14	20
21 Lilium cernuum	19	5	5	14	15	15	16	7	12	11	10	11	19	18	20	5	6	8	7	5	4	13	19
22 Lilium concolor	21	3	3	16	16	17	18	5	12	11	10	9	21	16	22	3	8	6	5	3	2	15	21
23 Lilium pumilum	21	3	3	16	16	17	18	5	12	11	10	9	21	16	22	3	8	6	5	3	2	15	21
24 Lilium henryi	-	20	20	11	12	12	13	20	23	22	19	22	4	9	5	20	19	21	22	18	19	10	14
25 Lilium lancifolium	0.013	-	2	15	15	16	17	4	11	10	9	8	20	15	21	2	7	5	4	2	1	14	20
26 Lilium leichtlinii var.	0.013	0.001	-	15	15	16	17	4	11	10	9	8	20	15	21	2	7	5	4	2	1	14	20
maximowiczii 27 Lilium roethorni	0.007	0.010	0.010		7	7	2	15	10	17	16	17	11	10	10	15	14	16	17	12	14	5	11
27 Lilium Iosinorni 28 Lilium duchartrai	0.007	0.010	0.010	0.005	1	8	2	15	10	17	10	17	11	10	12	15	14	16	17	13	14	6	10
20 Lilium bakerianum	0.008	0.010	0.010	0.005	0.005	_0	9	15	17	15	15	17	12	10	13	15	15	17	17	13	15	6	10
30 Lilium nanum	0.008	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.005	0.006	_	17	20	19	18	19	13	12	14	17	16	18	19	15	16	7	13
31 Lilium hulbiferum	0.013	0.003	0.003	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.011	_	11	10	9	6	20	15	21	4	7	5	6	4	3	14	20
32 Lilium candidum	0.015	0.007	0.007	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.013	0.007	_	7	6	13	23	20	24	11	11	11	13	11	10	17	23
33 Lilium pomponium	0.014	0.006	0.006	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.012	0.006	0.005	_	3	12	22	19	23	10	11	11	12	10	9	16	22
34 Lilium pyrenaicum	0.012	0.006	0.006	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.006	0.004	0.002	_	11	21	18	22	9	10	10	11	9	8	15	19
35 Lilium formosanum	0.014	0.005	0.005	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.004	0.008	0.008	0.007	-	22	17	23	8	11	9	10	8	7	16	22
36 Lilium leucanthum	0.003	0.013	0.013	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.013	0.015	0.014	0.014	0.014	-	5	1	20	19	21	22	18	19	10	16
37 Lilium regale	0.006	0.010	0.010	0.006	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.010	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.003	-	6	15	18	16	17	13	14	9	15
38 Lilium sargentiae	0.003	0.014	0.014	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.014	0.016	0.015	0.014	0.015	0.001	0.004	-	21	20	22	23	19	20	11	17
39 Lilium hansonii	0.013	0.001	0.001	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.003	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.005	0.013	0.010	0.014	-	7	5	4	2	1	14	20
40 Lilium martagon	0.012	0.005	0.005	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.007	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.005	-	4	9	<u> </u>	6	13	19
41 Lilium medeoloides	0.014	0.003	0.003	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.012	0.003	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.014	0.010	0.014	0.003	0.003	-	7	5	4	15	21
42 Lilium tsingtauense	0.014	0.003	0.003	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.004	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.006	0.014	0.011	0.015	0.003	0.006	0.005	- 002	4	3	16	22
45 Luium maculatum ssp.	0.012	0.001	0.001	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.010	0.003	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.005	0.012	0.008	0.012	0.001	0.005	0.003	0.003	-	1	12	18
muculatum	0.012	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.010	0.002	0.007	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.012	0.000	0.012	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.001		12	10
dauricum	0.012	0.001	0.001	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.002	0.007	0.000	0.005	0.005	0.012	0.009	0.015	0.001	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.001	-	13	17
45 Lilium mackliniae	0.006	0 009	0 009	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0 009	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.006	0.006	0.007	0 000	0.008	0.010	0.010	0.008	0.008	_	10
46 Lilium fargesii	0.009	0.013	0.013	0.007	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.013	0.015	0.014	0.012	0.014	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.013	0.012	0.014	0.014	0.012	0.012	0.006	
	0.007	5.010	5.010	5.007	5.000	5.000	5.000	0.010	5.010		5.012	5.011	0.010	5.010	5.011	5.010	0.012	0.011	0.011	5.012	0.012	5.000	

Asiatic element, except for *L. bulbiferum*, which is a central European species.

The third clade with a bootstrap value of 100% includes six species which are all North American (*L. canadense, L. michganense, L. superbum, L. pardalinum, L. washigtonianum* and *L. columbianum*) (Fig. 4). All the species in this clade occur in North America; three species, *L. canadense, L. michiganense* and *L. superbum,* are eastern species, while *L. pardalinum, L. washingtonianum* and *L. columbianum* are typical west coast species in their distribution (Feldmaier & McRae 1982).

Insertion-deletion events in the matK gene of Lilium and allied genera

No indels have been found in the *rbcL* gene of all higher plants so far examined, but in the case of the *matK* gene

indels have been recorded from various higher plant taxa (Johnson & Soltis 1995). In reference to the sequences of the *matK* gene of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) (Sugita *et al.* 1985), indels were examined in *Lilium* and allied genera and also in taxa used as outgroups.

In this study 19 indels (insertion/deletion events) (Table 5) were discovered in the *matK* gene of *Lilium* and allied genera, as follows.

(a) Twelve deletions of 6 bp: 115–120 bp [I]; 156–161 bp [III]; 265–270 bp [IV], except for *Notholirion*; 286–291 bp [V]; 394–399 bp [VI]; 338–444 bp [VII]; 643–648 bp [X], except for *Cardiocrinum* and *L. rubellum*, in both of which 18 bp are lacking; 856–861 bp [XIII], found only in *L. candidum*; 889–894 bp [XIV]; 1176–1182 bp [XV]; 1519–1525 bp [XVI], excepting four taxa, *L. japonicum*, *L. nobilissimum*, *L. speciosum*, and *L. alexandrae*, in

Table 5 Indels to matK gene of the genus Lilium and allied genera

	115–120 (I)									
Indel bp	109–123	156-161	265-270	286-291	394-399	338-444	619-630	631-642	643-648	649-666
Таха	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)	(VII)	(VIII)	(IX)	(X)	(XII)
Medeola virginiana T	TAAATAGT	TG	АТ	СА	AG	ТС	GAATAGTT	TTATT	C	AGAATAATAAAACTATTT
Erythronium japonicum	AG	TG	АС	СА	AG	ТС	GAATAGTT	TTATT	C	AGAATAATAAAACTATTT
Fritillaria koidzumiana	TG	TG	АТ	СА	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	ТТС	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Notholirion thomsoniana		TG	ATATAGAT	СА	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	ТТС	AGAGTAATAACACTTTTT
Cardiocrinum cordatum	TG	TG	АТ	СА	AG	AG	G	ААТААААСТА	TT	T
Nomocharis pardantina	CAATG	TG	АТ	СА	AG	AG	G	ААТААААСТА	ATTC	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium rubellum	TG	TG	АТ	СА	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	TT	Т
Lilium candidum	TG	TG	АТ	СА	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	АТТС	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium martagon	TG	TG	AT	СА	AG	ТС	G	AATAAAACTA	АТТС	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium medeoloides	TG	TG	АТ	СА	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	АТТС	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium henryi	TG	TG	АТ	АТ	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	АТТС	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium leucanthum	TG	TG	AT	АТ	AG	ТС	G	AATAAAACTA	АТТС	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium sargentiae	TG	TG	AT	АТ	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	ATTC	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium regale	TG	TG	AT	АТ	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	ATTC	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium japonicum	TG	TG	АТ	СА	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	АТТС	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium nobilissimum	TG	TG	AT	СА	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	ATTC	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium speciosum	TG	TG	АТ	СА	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	АТТС	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
Lilium alexsandrae	TG	TG	АТ	СА	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	АТТС	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT
All other taxa	TG	TG	АТ	АТ	AG	ТС	G	ААТААААСТА	АТТС	AGGATAATAAAACTATTT

	838–853 (XI)	856–861 (XIII)	889–894 (XIV)	1176–1182 (XV)	1519–1525 (XVI)	1546–1548 (XVII)	1632–1637 (XVIII) 1626–1637 (XIX)
TATT		ATAGTAGTAT	GT	ТА	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
T		ATAGTGT	GT	ТА	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	GT	GA	ТТ	ТС	С7
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	GT	ТА	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	GT	GA	ТТ	TT	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	GT	GA	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	GT	GA	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		АТАТ	GT	GA	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTAT	ТА	GA	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTAT	ТА	ТА	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	ТА	ТА	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	ТА	ТА	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	ТА	ТА	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	ТА	ТА	ТТ	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	ТА	ТА	TCTTTCTT	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	ТА	ТА	TCTTTCTT	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	ТА	ТА	TCTTTCTT	ТС	ТТ
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	ТА	ТА	TCTTTCTT	ТС	Т7
TATT		ATAATAGTGT	ТА	ТА	ТТ	ТС	ТТ

© 2000 The Society for the Study of Species Biology Plant Species Biology, 15, 73-93

which 6 bp insertion (CTTTCT) occurs; 1632–1637 bp [XVIII], found only in four taxa, *Medeola*, *Erythronium*, *Notholirion*, and *Cardiocrinum*.

- (b) Two deletions of 15 bp: 109–123 bp [II], only found in Notholirion thomsoniana, and 838–853 bp [XI] in all taxa except for Erythronium (835–855 bp).
- (c) A reciprocal inversion and deletion of 12 bp: 619–630 bp [VIII] and 631–642 bp [IX]; in *Medeola* and *Erythronium* 12 bp are lacking in 631–642 bp, whereas in the remaining 17 taxa examined (cf. Table 5), 12 bp of 619–630 bp are a deletion and 12 bp of 631–642 bp are an inverted insertion.
- (d) One deletion of 3 bp: 1546–1548 bp [XVII].
- (e) One deletion of 18 bp: 649–666 bp [XII] in two taxa, *C. cordatum* and *L. rubellum*.
- (f) One deletion of 12 bp: 1626–1637 bp [XIX] in the remaining 15 taxa, except for the above four genera.

Amino acid topology obtained by the MP method of matK gene, and its evaluation

In Fig. 5, the amino acid topology (50% majority rule consensus tree) based on translation of the *matK* gene base sequence data is presented. Basically, three major *Lilium* clades in the tree based on amino acid data were corresponding to those obtained by the base sequence data (Fig. 4). However, *Fritillaria* was an ingroup taxon of *Lilium*. Closely related genera, such as *Cardiocrinum*, *Notholirion* and *Nomocharis*, occupy almost the same phylogenetic positions in the amino acid tree as in the base sequence tree (Fig. 4).

The numbers of synonymous and non-synonymous base substitutions and codon usage in the taxa examined in the present analyses are important, but as the numbers of base substitutions at the first and second codons were not so high, striking differences were not visible in the amino acid tree for *Lilium* and related taxa, in sharp contrast to what we have recently obtained in the Trilliaceae (Kazempour Osaloo & Kawano 1999; Kazempour Osaloo *et al.* 1999).

Discussion

Phylogenetic position of Liliaceae sensu stricto

In the present study, molecular systematic analyses were first conducted on the Liliaceae *sensu stricto*, focusing on the following points.

First, the intergeneric phylogenetic relationships were analyzed for all genera included in the Liliaceae *sensu stricto* (*sensu* Takhtajan 1997), except for *Lloydia*, and *Rhinopetalum* which is confined to central Asia, using two molecular markers: *rbcL* and *matK* gene of *cp*DNA. The topologies obtained for the *rbcL* and *matK* genes of



Fig. 5 The 50% majority-rule consensus tree obtained from the phylogenetic analysis of amino acid sequences (plus indels) of maturase (which encoded by *matK* gene) for 49 taxa of Liliaceae *sensu stricto*, using *Medeola* and *Erythronium* as outgroups (× 1000 replications). Figures above the branches are bootstrap values. The length of the shortest tree (L) was 223, a consistency index (CI) of 0.807, a retention index (RI) of 0.803, and a homoplasy index of 0.193.

*cp*DNA obtained in this study were more or less identical (Figs 1 and 2), and were congruent with the taxonomic concept of Liliaceae *sensu stricto* recently proposed by Tamura (1998), i.e. Liliaceae *sensu stricto* is composed of three major subgroups, the first subgroup consisting of *Erythronium, Amana, Tulipa* and *Gagea*, the second consisting of *Lilium, Nomocharis, Fritillaria, Cardiocrinum* and *Notholirion* and the third somewhat distantly related to the former two, *Medeola* and *Clintonia* (Figs 1 and 2). Indeed, *Medeola* and *Clintonia* are referred to a subfamily in the Liliaceae (Tamura 1998) or separate families within the Liliales (Takhtajan 1987, 1997).

Second, the infrageneric relationships within *Lilium* and closely related genera, such as *Nomocharis, Cardiocrinum, Notholirion* and *Fritillaria* (Comber 1949; Liang 1980) were critically examined based on the phylogenetic analyses using *rbcL* and *matK* genes (Figs 3 and 4), although resolution by the *rbcL* gene was very limited due to low base substitution rates, as was expected by our earlier studies (Kato *et al.* 1995; Kazempour Osaloo & Kawano 1999; Kazempour Osaloo *et al.* 1999).

Phylogenetic relationships among Lilium species revealed by rbcL *and* matK *gene sequence data*

Based on the molecular analyses of the *rbcL* and *matK* genes, taxonomic schemes for the genus *Lilium* and allied genera were re-evaluated.

The *rbcL* gene has evolved very slowly and its phylogenetic resolution was thus very limited; the *matK* gene showed much higher sequence variation and divergence rates, including an unexpectedly high number of indels (insertion/deletion events). The phylogenetic tree obtained by the *matK* gene sequence data showed that *Lilium* consists of three distinct major clades (Fig. 4). Clade I consisted of a group mainly ranging in the Sino-Japanese floristic region (sections *Archaelilion* and *Sinomartagon*) and one species in eastern North America (section *Pseudolilium*), and also including *Nomocharis* species.

Most of the species in clade II comprise a widespread Eurasian group, ranging from the Far East to Europe, with species belonging to sections *Liriotypus*, *Martagon*, *Leucolirion*, *Sinomartagon* and *Daurolirion*, and extend from Japan to Manchuria and eastern Siberia via the Korean Peninsula and further southward to the Ryukyu Islands, Taiwan and possibly the Philippines. However, only *L. martagon* extends widely over northern Europe. On the other hand, several species, such as *L. candidum*, *L. pyrenaicum*, *L. pomponium* and *L. bulbiferum*, are more localized in the Mediterranean region (Feldmaier & McRae 1982).

Clade III consisted of only a North American group including the majority of section *Pseudolilium*, except for *L. philadelphicum*, which belonged to clade I (Fig. 4). *Fritillaria*, *Notholirion* and *Cardiocrinum* proved to be sisters to the major clade. A notable finding is that *Fritillaria* turned out to be a sister group of the major *Nomocharis–Lilium* clade, diverging at the basal position of the *matK* tree with a 100% bootstrap value (Fig. 4). However, *Cardiocrinum* and *Notholirion* were sisters to the remaining major clade (Fig. 4), although *Cardiocrinum* has been often included in *Lilium* (Comber 1949; Ohwi 1956).

The phylogenetic relationships obtained by these molecular analyses based on the *matK* gene sequences were very controversial, because most of the sectional classifications by earlier taxonomists (cf. Comber 1949; Liang 1980; for others see Table 1) were not in agreement with the molecular phylogenetic trees reconstructed in the present study (Fig. 4).

Major discrepancies are as follows.

- 1. Section Pseudolirium, a North American group, was split into two distantly related clades (Fig. 4). The phylogenetic position of L. philadelphicum is very puzzling. This species belonged to one of the subclades of clade I, but all six of the remaining species examined belonged to clade III (Fig. 4). In the present study, we also examined the *matK* sequences of a narrow-leaved variety of L. philadelphicum var. andenum (Nutt.) Ker (or L. umbellatum Pursh) (Fernald 1950) but both proved to have the same *matK* gene sequences. It should also be noted here that only L. philadelphicum has no cross ability with any other North American Lilium taxa (Lighty 1968). In this study, we included L. mackliniae for molecular analyses and it proved to belong to clade I, based on the matK gene tree (Fig. 4), although its sectional delimitation has yet to be determined. Recently, C-band patterns of somatic chromosomes of L. mackliniae were examined by Smyth et al. (1989), who place it tentatively in Sinomartagon, suggesting that this species should be placed in a new and separate section.
- 2. Two sections, *Leucolirion*, including *L. longiflorum* and *L. formosanum*, and *Liriotypus*, including a pair of species, *L. candidum* and *L. pomponium*, are situated in two subclades of clade II.
- 3. Section *Martagon* was split into two, belonging to two subclades (see Fig. 4). Four species, *L. hansonii*, *L. tsing-tauense*, *L. medeoloides*, and *L. martagon*, constituted parts of different subclades of clade II, whereas two species, *L. sargenteae* and *L. regale*, constituted part of the subclades of clade I.
- 4. Section Sinomartagon was split into four distantly related clades: one large group of six species, L. lancifolium, L. leichtlinii v. maximowiczii, L. cernuum, L. callosum, L. concolor and L. pumilum, which constitute part of a distinct subclade of clade II, and six species, L. bakerianum, L. henryi, L. nanum, L. rosthronii and L. duchartrei, all of which are scattered in four different subclades of clade I. This fact indicates that section Sinomartagon consists of exceedingly heterogeneous groups. Indeed, based on the C-band patterns of chromosomes, Smyth et al. (1989) suggested that L. henryi (previously classed in sect. Shinomartagon) should be included in section Leucolirion, together with L. regale (see Fig. 4).
- 5. Two subspecies belonging to section *Daurolirion*, *L. maculatum* ssp. *maculatum* and ssp. *dauricum* belong to part of the subclade of clade II. We believe, however, that these subspecies represent independent species, judging from their very distinct morphological as well as life-history characters and different geographic ranges (Hara 1963; Hayashi & Kawano, unpublished data).
- 6. Two *Nomocharis* species belonged to two separate subclades of clade I, forming a pair with *L. bakerianum* (Fig. 4).

The amino acid topology obtained in the present study simply supported the phylogenetic relationships obtained by the *matK* and *rbcL* base sequence data (Fig. 5). The level of resolution was slightly lower than the analyses by *matK* gene base sequence data but was higher than those by the *rbcL* gene. As the genus *Lilium* comprises approximately 100 species and also because *Nomocharis* turned out to be very closely related and may be an ingroup taxon, more detailed phylogenetic analyses are needed based both on base sequence data and on translated amino acid compositions (Miyata 1998; Kazempour Osaloo & Kawano 1999).

A comparison of molecular data with morphological and life-history characters

In the present study, trends of divergence in several morphological and life-history traits of *Lilium* were critically examined (Table 6; Figs 6 and 7). In his classical paper of *Lilium* taxonomy, Comber (1949) selected the following traits as having diagnostic values for sectional definitions: (i) types of seed germination (hypogeal or epigeal; immediate or delayed); (ii) seed size (heavy or light); (iii) bulb characters (scales jointed or entire; erect, subrhizomatous, rhizomatous or stoloniferous; white or purple in color); (iv) stem characters (erect or stoloniform; one or somtimes two per bulb; stem root present or absent); (v) phyllotaxis (whorled or scattered); (vi) petiole (obvious, obscure or absent); (vii) floral shape (Turk's cap or trumpet); (viii) perianth segments (papillose or smooth); (ix) stigma (large or small); and (x) nectary (pubescent or glabrous).

In the present study, we have chosen the following eight traits for character scoring (Table 7). The character states of eight traits were overlaid on the molecular tree reconstructed based on the *matK* gene (Figs 6 and 7).

It is interesting to note that most of the characters chosen by Comber (1949), except those for bulb characters, exhibit remarkably convergent differentiations. Bulb characters are assumed to have differentiated between taxa of section *Pseudolirion* and those of the other six sections (Stout 1928; Comber 1949) (Fig. 6d). All North American taxa of section *Pseudolirion* possess stoloniferous or rhizomatous bulbs, except for *L. philadelphicum* and *L. catesbaei*, which have almost erect concentric bulbs which are characterisitc of all taxa in the Eurasian sections (Woodcock & Stearn 1950; Fox 1985). It should be noted here that *L. philadelphicum* has no or extremely low cross ability with any of the other North American taxa (Lighty 1960).

The patterns of divergence found in most of the other characters, e.g. types of germination (Fig. 6b), which have been used for the taxonomic delimitation of infrageneric groups are at present inexplicable simply in terms of phylogenetic implications and/or of any specific environmental constraint (Stout 1924; Barton 1936; Baranova 1974). For example, very closely related taxa, L. maculatum ssp. maculatum and ssp. dauricum of section Daurolirion (clade II in Fig. 4) show different germination types, the former being epigeal, whereas the latter hypogeal (Hayashi 1990). However, Baranova (1987) reported intermediate germination types from Caucasian lily species, L. szovitsianum, L. polyphyllum and so on. Therefore, this specific character seems not to reflect the phylogenetic constraint, although Comber (1949) and Lighty (1968) regarded this character to be of diagnostic value for sectional delimitation. Somewhat divergent trends can also be seen in the seed germination pattern, immediate or delayed (Comber 1949) (cf. Fig. 6b). It should be noted here that Comber (1949) regarded hypogeal and delayed germination (Fig. 6a,b), whorled leaves (Fig. 7b), jointed bulb scales (Fig. 6c,d), and large heavy seeds (Fig. 7a) as primitive characters in Lilium. However, the seed germination types in Cardiocrinum (a typical woodland element), Nomocharis (a meadow or woodland element) and Fritillaria (dry meadow, alpinearctic meadow and woodland elements) are all epigeal (Hayashi, unpublished observation). Furthermore, Cardiocinum and Notholirion are both monocarpic perennials (Kawano 1975; Hayashi, unpublished observation), which are without doubt a derived life-history character. This fact suggests that contemporary Cardiocinum and Notholirion are not the ancestral members of the Liliaceae sensu stricto (see Fig. 4).

When all of the character states found in Lilium and allied genera such as Fritillaria, Cardiocrinum and Notholirion are considered, most of the key traits used by Comber (1949) are no doubt homoplacious and obviously reflect environmental constraints acting on patterns of differentiation. We should recall again that all character states found in contemporary species are the consequences of interactions between phylogenetic and environmental constraints (Kawano & Kato 1995). Floral characters have traditionally been emphasized as of key diagnostic value in most of earlier taxonomic studies of the genus Lilium (cf. Table 1). Indeed, in Lilium, sectional delimitation by Wilson (1925) was based on the flower shape and directional orientation of flowering (Table 1), i.e. the 'trumpet type' represented by species of section Leucolirion (e.g. L. longiflorum), the 'Turk's cap' type, nodding in bloom, represented by those of section Martagon (e.g. L. martagon) (Adams & Dress 1982), the 'bowl-shaped', blooming horizontally wide-open, represented by those of section Archelirion (e.g. L. auratum), and the 'wide-open cup-shaped' type, upright blooming, represented by those of section *Pseudolirilum* (e.g. L. philadelphicum and L. maculatum) (Comber 1949; Woodcock & Stearns 1950; Adams & Dress 1982). There is a high possibility of concerted evolution in relation to

Table 6	Character	scoring f	for	eight	traits	(modified	after	Hay	ashi,	1992)
						·····				

Taxa	1 Germination	2 Germination	3 Seed	4 Bulb	5 Joint	6 Phyllotaxis	7 Petiole	8 Perianth
	type	pattern	weigin	scales	segment			
Lilium hansonii	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
L. martagon	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
L. medeoloides	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
L. tsingtauense	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
L. columbianum	0	1	0	3	1	2	1	1
L. washingtonianum	0	1	0	3	1	1	1	1
L. pardalinum	0	1	0	4	0	1	1	1
L. superbum	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
L. michiganense	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
L. canadense	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	1
L. philadelphicum	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
L. bulbiferum	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
L. candidum	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
L. pomponium	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
L. alexsandrae	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
L. japonicum	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
L. nobilissimum	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
L. rubellum	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
L. speciosum	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
L. callosum	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
L. cernuum	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
L. pumilum	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	0
L. concolor	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
L. henryi	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
L. duchartrei	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
L. lancifolium	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
L. leichtlinii var.								
maximowiczii	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
L. rosthornii	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
L. bakerianum	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
L. nanum	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
L. sargentiae	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
L. regale	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
L. formosanum	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
L. maculatum								
ssp. maculatum	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
ssp. dauricum	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
L. leucanthum	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
L. fargesii	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
L. mackliniae	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
L. pyrenaicum	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1

1. Germination type: hypogeal (0); epigeal (1)

2. Germination pattern: immediate (0); delayed (1)

3. Seed weight: heavy (0); light (1)

4. Bulb: concentric (normal form) (0); stoloniferous (1); concentric bulb with stoloniferous stem (2); rhizomatous with large scales (3); sub-rhizomatous with small scales (4)

5. Joint segments: present (0); absent (1)

6. Phyllotaxis: scattered (0); whorled (1)

7. Petiole: present (0); absent (1)

8. Perianth segment: papillose (0); smooth (1)

MOLECULAR SYSTEMATICS OF LILIUM 89



Fig. 6 Parsimoniously mapping of morphological characters onto the 50% majority-rule consensus tree of *matK* gene sequence of 39 *Lilium* taxa. Upper left (A), germination type of seeds; upper right (B), germination pattern of seed; lower left, joint segment of bulbs (C); and lower right (D), bulb scales (see Table 6).

© 2000 The Society for the Study of Species Biology Plant Species Biology, 15, 73-93



Fig. 7 Parsimoniously mapping of morphological characters onto the 50% majority-rule consensus tree of *matK* gene sequence of 39 *Lilium* taxa. Upper left (A), seed weight; upper right (B), phyllotaxis; lower left, perianth segment (C); and lower right (D), petiole (see Table 6).

Numerous recent findings on the intricate flowerpollinator networks suggest that differentiation of floral structures and functions in plants are tightly concerted with those of pollinators (primarily of insects) (Thien *et al.* 1998; Gottsberger 1999; Knudsen 1999; Raguso & Pichersky 1999; Williams & Whitten 1999). The possibility is high, therefore, that *Lilium* flowers have differentiated convergently in relation to pollinator specificity as a consequence of adaptive radiation (Wilson 1925; Comber 1949).

Conclusions

The results of molecular systematic analyses on the Liliaceae, including *Lilium*, *Nomocharis*, *Notholirion*, *Cardiocrinum* and *Fritillaria*, using *Medeola virginiana* and *Erythronium japonicum* as outgroups have provided new evidence concerning the systematic positions of these genera and also infrageneric delimitations within *Lilium*. First, it has long been believed that *Fritillaria* was only remotely related to *Lilium* among the four other genera of the Liliaceae, but the present result clearly showed that *Fritillaria* no doubt represents the closest relative to *Lilium*, whereas *Notholirion* and *Cardiocrinum* are sister groups to *Fritillaria* and *Lilium*, and most distantly related to *Lilium*.

It should also be noted here that *Cardiocrinum* has often been regarded as a member of the genus *Lilium* (Comber 1949; Ohwi 1956) but this does not hold true and there is no doubt that *Cardiocrinum* represents an independent group, perhaps representing one of the most primitive members of the Liliaceae.

In *Nomocharis*, two sections (five species in section *Ecristata* and three species in section *Nomocharis*) have been recognized (Sealy 1983; Liang 1984). In the present study we analyzed two species, each representing these two sections, but our results indicate that these two species belong to the ingroup taxa of *Lilium*. All previous infrageneric delimitations of *Lilium* by Comber (1949) and Liang (1980) (for others, see Table 1) were controversial and insupportable, suggesting the need for major revision. As far as the results of the molecular (Figs 1–5), morphological and life-history character analyses (Figs 6 and 7) are concerned, a further thorough study seems to be necessary of the infrageneric (especially sectional) delimitation of the genus *Lilium*.

For this paper, we studied only 49 taxa of *Lilium* and allied groups out of ca. 400 taxa in a narrowly defined Liliaceae, but important evidence and results concerning the phylogenetic relationships and systematic positions of

Lilium and allied taxa have been revealed. Additional studies are now needed to cover the remaining groups and to elucidate the entire picture of the evolutionary–phylogenetic story of the Liliaceae *sensu stricto*.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Frederick H. Utech (Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA), Seiji Yoshida (Taishi Senior High School, Himeji, Japan) and Chiharu Karasaki (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan) for their help at the various stages of the present study. Our thanks are also due to Dr Shozo Noda (Osaka Gakuin University, Osaka, Japan) for his support for many years.

Materials used in the present study were supplied by Katsuro Arakawa (Yurigahara Park, Sapporo), for which we extend our gratitude. The present study was supported by Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research (nos 01041055, 05041090 and 08041143 to Shoichi Kawano) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of the Japanese Government, and in part financially supported by Osaka Gakuin University.

References

- Adams R. M. I. I. & Dress W. J. (1982) Nodding *Lilium* species of eastern North America (Liliaceae). *Baileya* 21: 165–188.
- Asano Y. (1986) A numerical taxonomic study of the genus Lilium in Japan. Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University 62: 333–341.
- Ascherson P. & Graebner P. (1925) Synopsis der Mitteleuropaischen. Flora 3: 171–184.
- Baker J. G. (1871) A new synopsis of all the known lilies. Gardeners Chronicle 104: 1650.
- Baranova M. V. (1974) The types of seed germination and development of seedlings in *Lilium* L. species. *Botanicheskii Zhurnal* 59: 1045–1055.
- Baranova M. V. (1987) The structure and development of lilies. Yearbook of the North American Lily Society 40: 87–96.
- Barth F. G. (1940) Insects and Flowers The Biology of a Partnership. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Barton L. V. (1936) Germination and seedling production in Lilium sp. Contributions from the Boyce Thompson Institute 8: 297–309.
- Buxbaum F. (1937) Die Entwicklungslinien der Lilioideae. II. Die systematischer Stellung der Gattung Gages. III. Die Lilioideae Engler. *Botanisches Archiv* 38: 305–398.
- Comber H. F. (1949) A new classification of genus Lilium. Royal Horticultural Society of the Liliy Year Book 13: 85–105.
- Dahlgren R. M. T., Clifford H. T. & Yeo P. F. (1985) The Families of Monocotyledons: Structure, Evolution, and Taxonomy. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Doyle J. J. & Doyle J. L. (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin* 19: 11–15.
- Endlicher M. (1836) Genera Platarum, 141. Vienna.

Feldmaier C. & McRae J. (1982) Lilien. Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart.

- Felsenstein J. (1995) *PHYLIP* (Phylogeny Inference Package), Version 3.5c. University of Washington, Washington, DC.
- Fernald M. L. (1950) *Gray's Manual of Botany*. American Book Co., New York.
- Fox D. (1985) Growing Lilies. Groom Helm, Sydney.
- Gottsberger G. (1999) Pollination and evolution in neotropical Annonaceae. *Plant Species Biology* 14: 143–152.
- Grant K. A. & Grant V. (1968) *Hummingbirds and Their Flowers*. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Hara H. (1963) On wild races of *Lilium maculatum* Thunb. *Journal* of Japanese Botany **38**: 248–249.
- Hayashi K. (1990) Native lilies in Japan. IV. Differentiation in the germination type of seeds between *Lilium maculatum* ssp. *maculatum* and ssp. *Dauricum*. *The Journal of Phytogeography and Taxonomy* **38**: 9–16.
- Howe C. J., Fearnley I. M., Walter J. E., Dyer T. M. & Gray J. C. (1985) Nucleotide sequences of the genes for the alpha, beta, and epsilon subunits of wheat chloroplast ATP synthase. *Plant Molecular Biology* **4**: 333–345.
- Hutchinson J. (1959) The Families of Flowering Plants, Vol II, Monocotyledons. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Johnson L. A. & Soltis D. E. (1994) *matK* DNA sequences and phylogenetic reconstruction in Saxfragaceae s str. Systematic Botany 19: 143–156.
- Johnson L. A. & Soltis D. E. (1995) Phylogenetic inference in Saxifragaceae sensu stricto and Gilia (Polemoniaceae) using matK sequences. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 82: 149–175.
- Kato H., Terauchi R., Utech F. H. & Kawano S. (1995) Molecular systematics of the Trilliaceae sensu lato as inferred from *rbcL* sequence data. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 4: 184–193.
- Kawano S. (1975) The productive and reproductive biology of flowering plants. II. The concept of life history strategy in plants. *Journal of the College of Liberal Arts, Toyama University* 8: 51–86.
- Kawano S. & Kato H. (1995) Evolutionary biology of *Trillium* and related genera (Trilliaceae) II. Cladistic analyses on gross morphological characters and phylogeny of the genus *Trillium*. *Plant Species Biology* **10**: 169–183.
- Kazempour Osaloo S. & Kawano S. (1999) Molecular systematics of Trilliaceae II. Phylogenetic analyses of *Trillium* and its allies using sequences of *rbcL* and *matK* genes of *cp*DNA and internal transcribed spacers (ITS) of 18S–26SnrDNA. *Plant Species Biology* 14: 75–94.
- Kazempour Osaloo S., Utech F. H., Ohara M. & Kawano S. (1999) Molecular systematics of Trilliaceae I. Phylogenetic analyses of *Trillium* using *matK* gene sequences. *Journal of Plant Research* **112**: 35–49.
- Kitamura S., Murata G. & Hori K. (1957) Coloured Illustrations of Herbaceous Plants of Japan (Sympetalae), rev. edn. Hoikusha, Osaka.
- Knudsen J. T. (1999) Floral scent differentiation among coflowering, sympatric species of *Geonoma* (Arecaceae). *Plant Species Biology* 14: 137–142.
- Krause K. (1930) Liliaceae. In: Die natrichen Pflanzenfamilien, 2nd edn (ed. A. Engler) pp. 227–386. Engelman, Leipzig.
- Liang S. (1980) Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae, Vol 14, Anagiospermae, Monocotyledoneae Liliaceae (I). Science Press., Beijing.
- Liang S. (1984) Studies on the genus Nomocharis (Liliaceae). Bulletin of the of the Botanical Research 4: 163–178.

- Lighty R. W. (1960) Cytological and interspecific hybridization studies in *Lilium* L. and their significance for classification. PhD Thesis, Cornell University, New York.
- Lighty R. W. (1968) Evolutionary trends in lilies. Royal Horticultural Society of Lily Year Book 31: 40–44.
- McPherson M. J., Hames B. D. & Taylor G. R. (1995) PCR 2. A Practical Approach. Oxford University Press, New York.
- McPherson M. J., Quirke P. & Taylor G. R. (1991) PCR 1. A Practical Approach. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Miyata T. (1998) [Molecular Evolution–Analytic Technologies and Applications.] Kyoritsu Shuppan, Tokyo. (In Japanese.)
- Noda S. (1987) 'Lily road' toward the Japanese Islands a cytological view point . In: *The Lilies of Japan* (ed. M. Shimizu) pp. 98–110. Seibundo-shinkousya, Tokyo.
- Ohwi J. (1965) Flora of Japan. Smithsonian Institute, Washington, D.C.
- Ooi K., Endo Y., Yokoyama J. & Murakami N. (1995) Useful primer designs to amplify DNA fragment of the plastid gene *matK* from angiosperm plant. *Journal of Japanese Botany* **70**: 328–333.
- Proctor M. & Yeo P. (1973) The Pollination of Flowers. Collins, London.
- Reichenbach K. (1830) Flora Germanica Excursoria I. 103.
- Raguso R. A. & Pichersky E. (1999) A day in the life of a linalool molecule: Chemical communication in a plant-pollinator system. Part 1: Linalool biosysthesis in flowering plants. *Plant Species Biology* 14: 95–120.
- Sealy J. R. (1983) A revision of the genus Nomocharis. Franchet. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 87: 285–323.
- Shinwari Z. K., Kato H., Terauchi R. & Kawano S. (1994) Phylogenetic relationships among genera in the Liliaceae– Asparagoideae–Polygonatae s. l. inferred from rbcL gene sequence data. Plant Systematics and Evolution 192: 263–277.
- Smyth D. R., Kongsuwan K. & Wisdharomn S. (1989) A survey of C-band patterns in chromosomes of *Lilium* (Liliaceae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 163: 53–69.
- Steele K. P. & Vilgalys R. (1994) Phylogenetic analysis of Polemoniaceae using nucleotide sequences of the plastid gene matK. Systematic Botany 19: 126–142.
- Stout A. B. (1924) Seedling lilies: a report of progress of experimental studies with species of *Lilium*. Journal of New York Botanical Garden 25: 185–194.
- Stout A. B. (1928) The bulbs and bulb habits of lilies. Journal of New York Botanical Garden 29: 25–42.
- Sugita M., Shinozaki K. & Sugiura M. (1985) Tobacco chloroplast tRNALYS (UUU) gene contains a 2.5 kilobase pairs intron: an open reading frame and a conserved boundary sequence in the intron. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 82: 3557–3561.
- Swofford D. (1993) PAUP: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony, Version 3.1.1. Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, IL.
- Takhtajan A. (1987) [Systema Magnoliophytorum], pp. 287–309. Nauka, Leningrad. (In Russian.)
- Takhtajan A. (1997) *Diversity and Classification of Flowering Plants*. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Tamura M. N. (1998) Liliaceae. In: The Families and Genera of Vascular Plants, Vol. III, Flowering Plants. Monocotyledons. Lilianae (except Orchidaceae) (ed. K. Kubitzki) pp. 343–353. Springer, Berlin.
- Thien L. B., Kawano S., Azuma H., Latimer S., Devall M. S., Rosso S., Elakovich S., Gray V. R. & Jobes D. (1998) The floral biology of the Magnoliaceae. In: *Magnolias and Their Allies* (ed. D.

Hunt) pp. 37–58. International Dendrology Society and the Magnolia Society, Milborne Port, UK.

- Williams N. H. & Whitten W. M. (1999) Molecular phylogeny and floral fragrances of male euglossine bee-pollinated orchids: a study of *Stanhopea* (Orchidaceae). *Plant Species Biology* 14: 129–136.
- Wilson E. H. (1925) *The Lilies of Eastern Asia*, Monograph. Dulau, London.
- Woodcock H. B. D. & Stearn W. T. (1950) Lilies of the World. Their Cultivation and Classification. Country Life, Charles Scribner, New York.

Note added after acceptance for publication

The results obtained in the present study using two molecular markers, *rbcL* and *matK* of *cp*DNA turned out to be very controversial as they contradicted the previous taxonomic concepts, especially at the infrageneric levels developed by Comber (1949) and Liang (1980). However, one most recent paper on the genus *Lilium* based on the sequence variations in the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions of 18S-25S nuclear ribosomal DNA by Nishikawa *et al.* (1999), which was published after the submission and acceptance of our paper, more or less supports earlier taxonomic treatments at the levels of section and subsection. However, we could not evaluate immediately the differences in phylogenetic trees reconstructed by *matK* gene of *cp*DNA by us and those for the ITS regions of ribosomal DNA by Nishikawa *et al.* (1999), and thus reserve our opinion on the differences in interpretation.

Reference

Nishikawa T., Okazaki K., Uchino T., Arakawa K. & Nagamine T. (1999) A molecular phylogeny of *Lilium* in the internal transcribed spacer region of nuclear ribosomal DNA. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 49: 238–249.