Evolutionary Biology of *Trillium* and Related Genera (Trilliaceae) I. Restriction Site Mapping and Variation of Chloroplast DNA and its Systematic Implications

HIDETOSHI KATO¹¹, SHOICHI KAWANO¹¹, RYOHEI TERAUCHI¹¹, MASASHI OHARA²¹, and FREDERICK H. UTECH³¹

¹¹ Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-01, Japan

²⁾ Laboratory of Plant Genetics and Education, Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060, Japan

³⁾ Section of Botany, Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, U.S.A.

Abstract A physical map of chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) was constructed for *Trillium kamtschaticum* using three restriction enzymes, *Apal*, *Pst*I and *Xho*I. The chloroplast genome is 154.5 kbp in size with each portion of the inverted repeat 26.5 kbp in length, separated by large (82.7 kbp) and small (18.8 kbp) single copy regions. The cpDNA of *T. kamtschaticum* is similar in structure to the cpDNAs of most angiosperms thus far studied. Chloroplast DNA variation in 25 taxa of *Trillium* was investigated using 14 restriction enzymes, with *Paris tetraphylla* as an outgroup. Phylogenetic analysis showed that sessile-flowered species (subgenus *Phyllantherum*) form a monophyletic group. Pedicellate-flowered species do not form a monophyletic group, and belong to at least three different groups. *T. kamtschaticum*, an East Asian diploid species, was found to be most closely related to a group of species from eastern North America.

Key words: Arcto-Tertiary element, cpDNA, phylogeny, RFLP-analysis, Trilliaceae, Trillium.

The genus Trillium L. [Liliaceae sensu Krause (1930) or Trilliaceae sensu Dahlgren et al. (1985)] is distributed in temperate or boreal woodlands in the Northern Hemisphere. The genus comprises about 46 perennial herbaceous species and occupies three disjunct regions: eastern Asia (about ten species), eastern North America (29 species) and western North America (seven species). This distribution indicates a so-called Arcto-Tertiary origin (Gray, 1846, 1860; Li, 1952; Axelrod, 1966; Graham, 1972; Tiffney, 1985; Samejima and Samejima, 1987; Ohara, 1989). The genus has been divided into two subgroups, the pedicellate-flowered group (subgenus Trillium) and the sessile-flowered group (subgenus Phyllantherum) (Freeman, 1975). Evolutionary divergence within the genus Trillium has been investigated extensively in studies of gross morphology (Berg, 1958; Freeman, 1975; Ihara and Ihara, 1978; Samejima and Samejima, 1962, 1987), palynolo-9y (Takahashi, 1982, 1983, 1984), genome constitutions and chromosomal variations as revealed by hetero-chromatin banding patterns (Haga and Kurabayashi, 1954; Kurabayashi, 1958; Fukuda and Channel, 1975; Fukuda and Grant, 1980; Dyer, 1964a, b, c; Utech, 1980), artificial hybridization (Haga and Channell, 1982), and life history characteristics (Kawano et al., 1986, 1992; Ohara, 1989; Ohara and Kawano, 1986a, b; Ohara and Utech, 1986, 1988).

In order to elucidate the phylogeny of *Trillium* more rigorously, we chose to analyze chloroplast DNA (*cp*DNA) variation within the genus and its relatives. In recent years, *cp*DNA analysis has proven to be useful for resolving phylogenetic relationships among species and genera in many different angiosperm families (Palmer et al., 1988; Soltis et al., 1992).

To begin the analysis of cpDNA in Trillium, we estimated the size of the cpDNA molecule in T. kamtschaticum which is a sole diploid species in Asia, and then prepared a physical map by restriction enzyme analysis. This provided a foundation for an extensive survey of restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) in 24 Trillium species from North America, one Trillium species from Japan, and one outgroup species, Paris tetraphylla, which proved to be one of the most closely related genera according to our recent molecular analyses of rbcL gene of the Trilliaceae (Kato et al., in press). By analyzing the presence or absence of restriction sites within species, we were able to construct a rooted phylogenetic tree for Trillium. This tree was then compared to the results of cladistic analyses based on the morphological characters for most of the presently known Trillium species (Kawano and Kato, in preparation). On the basis of these results, we could assess previous proposals regarding divergence within the genus and discuss its supposed Arcto-Tertiary origin.

Materials and Methods

1. cpDNA Purification, Size Estimation and Physical Map Construction

Fresh leaves of *T. kamtschaticum* were collected from a wild population near Chitose City, Hokkaido, in spring of 1992. Chloroplast DNA was isolated from fresh green leaf tissues following the method of Hirai et al. (1985). About 25 g of fresh leaf tissue were blended in liquid nitrogen. After the liquid nitrogen evaporated completely, the powder was suspended in 500 ml of Buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCI, pH 8.0; 0.35 M sucrose; 7 mM EDTA; 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol) containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin. The liquid suspension was filtered through two layers of cheese cloth, and then two layers of Miracloth (Calbiochem). The filtrate was centrifuged at $1000 \times g$ for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in 5 ml of Buffer A. The second resuspension was loaded on top of a stepwise 20-45-60%sucrose gradient made in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.3 M sorbitol, 7 mM EDTA, and centrifuged at $7000 \times g$ for 30 min. The green band at the interphase between 20 and 45% sucrose was collected, diluted in a 1:3 ratio with Buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 20 mM EDTA), and centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 10 min. The chloroplast pellet was resuspended in 3 ml of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 1 mM EDTA), 1 ml of 10% sodium dodecylsarcosinate (in TE buffer) and 0.1 ml of 10 mg/ml Proteinase K. The mixture was incubated for more than 1 hr at 37° C. Chloroplast DNA was purified from the mixture by CsCl equilibrium density gradient centrifugation with ethidium bromide.

Purified cpDNAs were digested with three restriction enzymes Apal, Pstl and Xhol, singly and in combination. The sizes of the resulting fragments were determined by electrophoresis in 0.5%, 0.7% and 1.5% agarose gels.

DIA IIU.	Тахол	no.*	Collection site	Collector
1.	Trillium kamtschaticum Pallas	10	Japan; Hokkaido; Samani-cho	H. Kato
2.	T. erectum L. var. erectum	10	Pennsylvania; Westmoreland Co.	S. Kawano et al.
3.	var. album (Michaux) Purs	h 10	Tennessee; Sevier Co.	M. Ohara et al.
4.	T. sulcatum Patrick	10	North Carolina; Burke Co.	S. Kawano et al.
5.	T. cernuum L.	10	Wisconsin; Iron Co.	S. Kawano et al.
6.	T. rugelii Rendle	10	Cultivated in the Botanical Garden of Hokkaido Univ. (origin unknown)	Unknown
7.	T. undulatum Willdenow	10	Pennsylvania; Westmoreland Co.	S. Kawano et al.
8.	T. grandiflorum (Michaux) Salisbury	10	Pennsylvania; Westmoreland Co.	S. Kawano et al.
9.	T. ovatum Pursh	10	California; Del Norte Co.	S. Kawano et al.
10.	T. rivale S. Watson	10	California; Del Norte Co.	S. Kawano et al.
11.	T. recurvatum Beck	10	Arkansas; Newton Co.	M. Ohara et al.
12.	T. lancifolium Rafin	10	South Carolina; McCormick Co.	M. Ohara et al.
13.	T. sessile L.	10	Pennsylvania; Westmoreland Co.	S. Kawano et al.
14.	T. decipiens Freeman	10	Florida; Jackson Co.	M. Ohara et al.
15a.	T. reliquum Freeman	10	Georgia; Columbia Co.	M. Ohara et al.
15b.	T. reliquum Freeman	10	Georgia; Early Co.	M. Ohara et al.
16.	T. stamineum Harbison	10	Mississippi; Lauderdale Co.	M. Ohara et al.
17.	T. maculatum Rafin.	10	Georgia; Early Co.	M. Ohara et al.
18.	T. foetidissimum Freeman	10	Louisiana; West Feliciana Parish	M. Ohara et al.
19.	T. luteum (Muhlenberg) Harbison	10	North Carolina; Graham Co.	M. Ohara et al.
20.	T. viride Beck	10	Missouri; Washington Co.	M. Ohara et al.
21.	T. viridescens Nuttall	10	Arkansas; Washington Co.	S. Kawano et al.
22.	T. petiolatum Pursh	10	Washington; Chelan Co.	M. Ohara et al.
23.	T. albidum Freeman	10	California; Sonoma Co.	M. Ohara et al.
24.	T. chloropetalum (Torrey) Howell	10	California; Santa Cruz Co.	M. Ohara et al.
25.	T. kurabayashii Freeman	10	California; Del Norte Co.	M. Ohara et al.
26.	Paris tetraphylla A. Gray	10	Japan; Hokkaido; Mt. Hakodate-yama	H. Kato

Table 1. Sources of DNA from 24 Trillium species and Paris tetraphylla

Samejima and Samejima(1987), Hara(1969).

The restriction fragments were transferred to a nylon membrane (Hybond-N, Amersham) from agarose gels. Cloned tobacco *cp*DNA fragments (kindly supplied by M. Sugiura, Nagoya University; Sugiura et al., 1986) were used as probes for physical mapping (The position of probes are shown in Fig. 5.). The probes were labeled and detected using DIG labeling kit and DIG luminescent detection kit (Boehringer Mannheim).

2. Total DNA Extraction and RFLP Analysis

Total DNAs were extracted from 25 species of *Trillium* and one species of *Paris* (Table 1). *Paris tetraphylla* A. Gray was used as the outgroup for the phylogeny reconstruction because this species was paraphyletic with several species of *Trillium, Kinugasa* and *Daiswa* based on our *rbc*L sequence analysis (Kato et al., in press). Fresh samples were obtained from field populations and from living plants in collections at Kyoto University and Hokkaido University. Voucher specimens for the plants within each population sampled are all deposited in the Herbarium at the Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University (KYO).

Total DNA extraction was performed using the method described by Shinwari et al. (1994). Extracted DNAs were digested with 14 restriction enzymes (Apal, BamHI, Bg/II, Dral, EcoRI, EcoRV, HindIII, KpnI, Pstl, PvuII, Sacl, Scal, Xbal, Xhol). Agarose gel electrophoresis, bi-directional transfer of DNA fragments from the gels to nylon membranes, and southern hybridization with cloned cpDNA of tobacco were performed as described above.

Phylogenetic analysis was performed using PAUP version 3.1.1 (Swofford, 1993) to find the most parsimonious trees; both Wagner parsimony and Dollo parsimony were employed. A bootstrap analysis was also conducted with 100 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985).

Results

1. T. kamtschaticum cpDNA: Genome Size and Structure

Restriction fragments from the purified *cp*DNA of *T. kamtschaticum*, digested with three restriction enzymes *Apal*, *Pstl* and *Xhol*, are shown in Fig. 1. Estimates for the size of each restriction fragment are shown in Table 2. Estimates for size of the total chloroplast genome ranged from 151.5 kbp to 153.3 kbp, with a mean of 152.3 kbp (Table 2).

The results of Southern hybridization of *T. kamt-schaticum cp*DNA with tobacco probes are summarized in Table 3, and an example is given in Fig. 2. In this experiment, the tobacco probe B10 (9.4 kbp) was hybridized to *T. kamtschaticum cp*DNA digested with *Apal*, *Pst*I and *Xho*I singly and in combination. The positions of restriction sites within and around the probed DNA region (Fig. 3) were inferred unambiguously from the hybridization results. Consecutive hybridizations with 19 tobacco *cp*DNA probes allowed us to construct a circular physical map for the *cp*DNA of *T. kamtschaticum* (Fig. 4). The arrangement of *T. kamtschaticum cp*DNA was found to be collinear with that of tobacco (Fig. 5).

Southern hybridization with tobacco probes from the inverted repeat (IR) revealed that *T. kamtschaticum* has IRs which are each approximately 26.5 kbp in length. The large single copy (LSC) and the small single copy (SSC) regions were estimated to be about 82.7 kbp and 18.8 kbp in size, respectively. Consequently, the total size of the chloroplast genome was about 154.5 kbp. This estimate is very close to the average estimate (152.3 kbp) based on the restriction fragments produced by single enzyme digestion (Table 2).

2. Restriction Site Variation and Phylogenetic Analyses





Hidetoshi Kato et al.

Table	2.	Molecular size (in kbp) of restriction fragments	in kbp) of restriction fragments			
		of T. kamtschaticum cpDNA generated by	1			
		digestion with Apal, Pstl and Xhol.				

No.	Apal	Pstl	Xhol
1996 <mark>- 1996 - 1997</mark>	50.0	45.0	18.0
2	35.0	23.0	16.0×2
3	20.0	20.0	11.5
4	9.5×2	16.0	11.0
5	7.0	14.5×2	10.5
6	5.0×2	13.0	9.5
7	4.5	3.2	8.0
8	3.0×2	2.1	7.6
9		2.0	7.0
10			4.7
11		Service services	4.5×2
12			3.7
13			3.5
14			3.4×2
15			3.1
16			2.8
17 .			1.8
18	in the second second second	and a strength of the	1.7
Total (kbp)	151.5	153.3	152.2

The survey of Southern hybridization for 25 Trillium species and Paris tetraphylla revealed a total of 41 restriction site mutations (Figs. 6 and 7; Table 4). There were also several small fragment size differences which could not be attributed to specific restriction site mutations. These differences are probably the results of DNA deletions or insertions. Our phylogenetic analyses were based on 41 restriction site changes. The presence or absence of each mutation is given for all the taxa tested (Table 5). Twenty-one restriction site mutations were shared by two or more taxa and were therefore potentially informative for phylogenetic analysis. The remaining 20 mutations were found in only one species (autapomorphic mutations). DNAs from two different populations of T. reliquum (DNA nos. 15a and 15b) were the same.

Wagner parsimony analysis of the data in Table 5 revealed a single most parsimonious tree of 43 steps, including four homoplastic mutations (Fig. 8) (23 steps when autapomorphic characters are excluded). The consistency index for this tree is 0.95 (0.91 when autapomorphic mutations are excluded). All homoplastic characters had a consistency index of 0.5. Dollo parsimony analysis resulted in two equally parsimonious trees with 44 steps (data not shown). The positions of *T. undulatum* and *T. rivale* were different in the trees produced by Wagner and Dollo analyses. Bootstrap values for the Wagner analysis are shown in



Fig. 2. Hybridization of the cloned tobacco B10 (Ba14–6-22, see Fig. 5) fragment as the probe to *T. kamt-schaticum cp*DNA digested with *Apal*, *Pst*I or *Xho*I singly, or in their combination. Size of each fragment is indicated on the left side of the lane.

bacco cpDNA probes. The locations of the
e toł
by th
cted I
detec
n kbp)
(size il 1 Fig. 5
DNA wn ir
<i>ticum c</i>) e are sho
<i>mtscha</i> genome
T. ka plast
ents of chloro
ragme bes in
ion fi prot
strict
Ret
ŝ

Rebaren iznipika

Balfo 35.2 12.8 4.9 12.8 4.9 Baltoa 35.2 12.8 11.4 12.8 11.4 Baltoa 35.2 12.8 11.4 12.8 11.4 Bat 35.2 12.8 11.4 12.8 11.4 Baltob $35.2 + 6.7$ 19.3 $3.1 + 1.8 + 3.4$ $12.6 + 6.7$ $5.5 + 1.3$ Baltob $35.2 + 6.7$ 19.3 $3.1 + 1.8 + 3.4$ $12.6 + 6.7$ $5.5 + 1.3$ Baltob $35.2 + 6.7$ 19.3 $3.1 + 1.6 + 1.3 + 0.8$ $12.6 + 6.7$ $5.5 + 1.3$ Baltob $35.2 + 6.7$ 19.3 $3.1 + 1.6 + 1.3 + 0.8$ $6.7 + 1.5 + 1.3 + 0.8$ $5.5 + 1.3$ Baltob $6.7 + 4.7 + 1.5 + 1.3 + 0.8$ 4.7 $5.5 + 1.3$ $6.7 + 1.5 + 1.3 + 0.8$ $5.5 + 2.3 + 1.5 + 0.3 + 0.8$ Baltob $6.7 + 4.7 + 1.5 + 1.3 + 0.8$ 4.7 $7.9 + 1.7$ $7.9 + 1.7$ Baltob $5.0.1$ 4.6 10.3 4.7 2.4 Baltob $5.0.1$ 4.6 $10.3 + 1.7$ 39.4 $7.9 + 1.7$ Baltob $5.0.1$ 4.6 $10.3 + 1.7$ 39.4 $7.9 + 1.7$ Baltob $5.0.1$ 4.6 16.5 39.4 $7.9 + 1.7$ Baltob $5.0.1$ 4.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Baltob $5.0.1$ 4.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Baltob $5.0.1$ 4.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Baltob $5.0.1$ 4.6 $16.5 + 7.4 + 3.5 + 2.8 + 1.5 + 2.8 + 1.5 + 2.2 + 2.8 + 1.5 + 2$	12.8 12.8		((
Baloa35.212.811.412.811.4Ba435.212.8+19.311.412.8+12.611.4Ba435.219.3 $3.1+1.8+3.4$ 12.6 $3.1+1.8+3.4$ Ba13-12a $35.2+6.7$ 19.3 $3.1+1.8+3.4$ 12.6 $3.1+1.8+3.4$ Ba10b $35.2+6.7$ 19.3 6.8 $1.2.6+6.7$ $5.5+1.3$ Ba9a-9b $6.7+4.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ $4.5.6+19.3+2.1$ $7.1+6.8$ $6.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ Ba16 4.7 45.6 10.3 4.7 5.4 Ba17 50.1 45.6 10.3 4.7 2.4 Ba17 50.1 45.6 $10.3+1.7$ 39.4 $7.9+1.7$ Ba17 50.1 45.6 $10.3+1.7$ 39.4 $7.9+1.7$ Ba17 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba18-22c 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5 7.44.3.5+2.28$ $8.722+2.28$ Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5+7.44.3.5+2.28$ $8.722+2.0$ Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5+7.44.3.5+2.28$ <td>12.8</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>3.9</td>	12.8	4.9	3.9
Bad35.212.8 + 19.311.412.8 + 12.611.4Ba13-12a $35.2 + 6.7$ 19.3 $3.1 + 1.8 + 3.4$ 12.6 $3.1 + 1.8 + 3.4$ Ba130 $35.2 + 6.7$ 19.3 $3.1 + 1.8 + 3.4$ 12.6 $3.1 + 1.8 + 3.4$ Ba10b $35.2 + 6.7$ 19.3 $3.1 + 1.8 + 3.4$ 12.6 $5.5 + 1.3$ Ba9a-9b $6.7 + 4.7 + 1.5 + 1.3 + 0.8$ 4.7 $5.6 + 1.3 + 1.2 + 0.8$ Ba9a-9b $6.7 + 4.7 + 1.5 + 1.3 + 0.8$ 4.7 $5.5 + 2.3 + 1.5 + 1.3 + 1.2 + 0.8$ Ba16 4.7 45.6 10.3 4.7 5.4 Ba17 50.1 45.6 $10.3 + 1.7$ 39.4 $7.9 + 1.7$ Ba17 50.1 45.6 $10.3 + 1.7$ 39.4 $7.9 + 1.7$ Ba17 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 $7.9 + 1.7$ Ba18-22c 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 $7.9 + 1.7$ Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5 + 7.4 + 3.5 + 2.8 + 1.5$ 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5 + 7.4 + 3.5 + 2.8 + 1.5$ 39.4 $16.5 + 7.4 + 3.5 + 2.8 + 1.5$ Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5 + 7.4 + 3.5 + 2.8 + 1.5$ 39.4 $16.5 + 7.4 + 3.5 + 2.8 + 1.5$ Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5 + 7.4 + 3.5 + 2.8 + 1.5$ 39.4 $16.5 + 7.4 + 3.5 + 2.8 + 1.5$ Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5 + 7.4 + 3.5 + 2.8 + 1.5$ 39.4 $16.5 + 7.4 + 3.5 + 2.8 + 1.5$ <td>24</td> <td>11.4</td> <td>8.4</td>	24	11.4	8.4
Ba13-12a 35.2 19.3 $3.1+1.8+3.4$ 12.6 $3.1+1.8+3.4$ Ba10b $35.2+6.7$ 19.3 6.8 $3.1+1.8+3.4$ $5.5+1.3$ Ba20-9b $6.7+4.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ $45.6+19.3+2.1$ $7.1+6.8$ $1.2.6+6.7$ $5.5+1.3$ Ba16 4.7 45.6 10.3 $7.1+6.8$ $6.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ $5.5+2.3+1.5+1.3+1.2+0.8$ Ba16 4.7 45.6 10.3 $7.1+6.8$ $6.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ $5.5+2.3+1.5+1.3+1.2+0.8$ Ba17 50.1 45.6 10.3 $7.1+6.8$ $6.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ $5.5+2.3+1.5+1.3+1.2+0.8$ Ba17 50.1 45.6 10.3 $7.1+6.8$ $7.9+1.7$ 2.4 Ba17 50.1 45.6 $10.3+1.7$ 39.4 $7.9+1.7$ Ba18-226 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5$ 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.7 $45.2+4.3\times2+2.8$ $8.7\times2+2.0$ $4.5\times2+4.3\times2+2.8$ Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5+7.4+3.5+2.28$ $8.7\times2+2.20$ $4.5\times2+4.3\times2+2.8$ Ba12b-20 50.1 $45.2+4.5\times2+2.8$ $8.7\times2+2.20$ $4.5\times2+4$	12.8+12.6	11.4	8.4+3.0
Balob $35.2+6.7$ 19.3 6.8 $12.6+6.7$ $5.5+1.3$ Baga-9b $6.7+4.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ $4.5.6+19.3+2.1$ $7.1+6.8$ $6.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ $5.5+2.3+1.5+1.3+1.2+0.8$ Balob 4.7 4.7 45.6 10.3 4.7 2.4 Balob 50.1 4.7 45.6 10.3 4.7 2.4 Balob 50.1 45.6 $10.3+1.7$ 39.4 $7.9+1.7$ Balob 50.1 45.6 $10.3+1.7$ 39.4 $7.9+1.7$ Balob 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Balob 50.1 45.6 $16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5$ 39.4 16.5 Balob 50.1 45.6 $16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5$ 39.4 $16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5$ Balob $50.1+35.2$ $14.2\times2+2.0$ $4.5\times2+4.3\times2+2.0$ $4.5\times2+4.3\times2+2.8$ Balob $50.1+35.2$ $14.2\times2+2.0$ $4.5\times2+2.0$ $4.5\times2+2.2$ Balob $50.1+35.2$ $14.2\times2+2.0$ $4.5\times2+2.2$ $4.5\times2+2.2$ Balob $50.1+35.2$ $50.1+35.2$ $50.1+35.2$ $50.1+35.2$ Balob $50.1+35.2$ $4.5\times2+2.2$ $4.5\times2+2.2$ $4.5\times2+2.2$ Balob $50.1+35.2$ $4.5\times2+2.2$ $4.5\times2+2.2$	12.6	3.1+1.8+3.4	3.4+3.1+1.8
Baga-9b $6.7+4.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ $45.6+19.3+2.1$ $7.1+6.8$ $6.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ $5.5+2.3+1.5+1.3+1.2+0.8$ Ba16 4.7 45.6 10.3 $7.1+6.8$ $6.7+1.5+1.3+0.8$ $5.5+2.3+1.5+1.3+1.2+0.8$ Ba17 50.1 45.6 $10.3+1.7$ 39.4 $7.9+1.7$ Ba17 50.1 45.6 $10.3+1.7$ 39.4 $7.9+1.7$ Ba18-22c 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba18-22c 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5$ 39.4 $16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5$ Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5+7.4+3.5+2.28+1.5$ 39.4 $16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5$ Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $14.2\times2+2.0$ $14.8\times2+4.5\times2+2.8$ $8.7\times2+2.0$ $4.5\times2+4.3\times2+2.8$ Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 $16.5+7.4+3.5+2.2\times10$ $4.5\times2+4.3\times2+2.8$ $4.5\times2+4.3\times2+2.8$ Ba12b-20 50.1 50.1 50.1 <th< td=""><td>12.6+6.7</td><td>5.5+1.3</td><td>6.8</td></th<>	12.6+6.7	5.5+1.3	6.8
Ba16 4.7 45.6 10.3 10.3 4.7 2.4 Ba3 50.1 45.6 10.3+1.7 39.4 7.9+1.7 Ba17 50.1 45.6 10.3+1.7 39.4 7.9+1.7 Ba17 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba18-22c 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba18-22c 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-21 50.1 45.6 16.5+7.4+3.5+2.2* 8.7×2+2.0 4.5×2+4.3×2+2.8* Ba12b-22 50.1+35.2 14.2×2+2.2 39.4 16.5 16.5 Ba12b-23-24 50.1+35.2 14.2×2+2.2 8.7×2+2.0 4.5×2+4.3×2+2.8* Ba12b-23-24 50.1+35.2 14.2×2+2.2 8.7×2+2.0 4.5×2+4.3×2+2.8*	6.7+1.5+1.3+0.8 5.5+	5.5 + 2.3 + 1.5 + 1.3 + 1.2 + 0.8	6.8+3.8+2.1+1.2
Ba3 50.1 45.6 10.3+1.7 39.4 7.9+1.7 Ba17 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 7.9+1.7 Ba17 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba18-22c 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-21 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-22 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-23-24 50.1 50.1 45.2 2.4.3.2 2.4.3.2 Ba12b-23-24 50.1 50.1 50.1 50.1 50.1 <td>4.7</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>10.3</td>	4.7	2.4	10.3
Ba17 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba18-22c 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5 39.4 16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5 Ba17-23-24 50.1+35.2 14.2×2+2.0 14.8×2+4.5×2+2.8 8.7×2+2.0 4.5×2+4.3×2+2.8 Ba17-23-24 50.1+35.2 14.2×2+2.0 14.8×2+4.5×2+2.8 8.7×2+2.0 4.5×2+4.3×2+2.8	39.4	7.9+1.7	10.3+1.7
Ba18-22c 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 16.5 39.4 16.5 Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 16.5 7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5 39.4 16.5 Ba1 50.1 45.6 16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5 39.4 16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5 Ba7-23-24 50.1+35.2 14.2×2+2.0 14.8×2+4.5×2+2.8 8.7×2+2.0 4.5×2+4.3×2+2.8	39.4	16.5	16.5
Ba12b-20 50.1 45.6 16.5 Ba1 50.1 45.6 16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5 39.4 16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5 Ba7-23-24 50.1+35.2 14.2×2+2.0 14.8×2+4.5×2+2.8 8.7×2+2.0 4.5×2+4.3×2+2.8	39.4	16.5	16.5
Ba1 50.1 45.6 16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5 39.4 16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5 Ba7-23-24 50.1+35.2 14.2×2+2.0 14.8×2+4.5×2+2.8 8.7×2+2.0 4.5×2+4.3×2+2.8	39.4	16.5	16.5
Ba7-23-24 50.1+35.2 14.2×2+2.0 14.8×2+4.5×2+2.8 8.7×2+2.0 4.5×2+4.3×2+2.8	.8+1.5 39.4 16.	16.5+7.4+3.5+2.8+1.5	16.5+7,4+3.5+1.5+0.9
	+2.8 8.7×2+2.0 4	$4.5 \times 2 + 4.3 \times 2 + 2.8$	9,8×2+4.4×2+1.9
Ddd 00.1+33.2 14.2×241.1 4.374.3×2 0.1×241.1 4.3443×2	8.7×2+1.1	4.9+4.5×2	$4.4 \times 2 + 3.9 + 1.0$
Ba14 50.1+35.2 14.2×2 14.8×2 8.7×2 4.3×2	8.7×2	4.3×2	9.8×2
Ba6-14-22 50.1+35.2+9.3×2 23.4+15.4+14.2×2 14.8×2 8.7×2+5.5×2+3.8×2 9.3×2+4.3×2	8.7×2+5.5×2+3.8×2	9.3×2+4.3×2	9.8×2+5.0×2
Ba11 9.3×2+5.3×2 23.4+15.4 14.8×2 5.3×2+3.8×2 9.3×2+1.2×2	5.3×2+3.8×2	9.3×2+1.2×2	5.0×2
Ba5 18.8+5.3×2+3.1×2 23.4+15.4 9.3+3.5×2+3.4+0.8×2 5.3×2+3.1×2 3.5×2+2.9×2+0.6×2 10	0.8×2 5.3×2+3.1×2 3.1	3.5×2+2.9×2+0.6×2 10	10.7+6.1+3.5×2+3.4+0.8×
8-3 18 23 4415 443 141 3 11 0 40 3 11 0 43 17 0 41 3 17 446 4	61107101011	124464	614164191501

Evolutionary biology of Trillium



Fig. 3. Part of the physical map of *Trillium kamtschaticum cp*DNA, inferred from the result of Southern hybridization (see Fig. 2). The size of restriction fragment is shown. Restriction sites are shown for *Apal* (A), *Pstl* (P) and *Xhol* (X). Fragment length is given in kbp. This region corresponds to the inverted repeats, so that double or two different sized (in parenthesis) fragments were observed.

Fig. 8.

Monophyly of sessile-flowered species was supported with a bootstrap value of 99%. Among the sessile group species, two less strongly supported clades are evident: (1) *T. decipiens* and *T. reliquum* (supported by a 65% bootstrap value) and (2) all other sessile-flowered species (61% bootstrap value).

Monophyly of *T. erectum, T. sulcatum, T. cernuum* and *T. rugelii* was supported (96% bootstrap value). Furthermore, these species formed a well supported clade with the eastern Asiatic species, *T. kamtschaticum* (98% bootstrap value). *T. grandiflorum* and *T. ovatum* were distinguished by six and three autapomorphic site changes, respectively, and appear separate from other pedicellate-flowered species. Two other pedicellate-flowered species, *T. undulatum* and *T. rivale,* were also distinguished by autapomorphic site changes, and one of the site changes was identical with that of *Paris tetraphylla*, another Trilliaceae genus (Fig. 6). These two *Trillium* species diverge at the root of the tree, close to the outgroup species, *P. tetraphylla*.

Discussion

1. Comparison of Chloroplast Genome Structure The plant chloroplast genome is a circular DNA molecule typically between 120 kbp and 210 kbp in size. Its structure has been studied for many wild as well as cultivated plants (cf. Downie and Palmer, 1992). The







Fig. 5. Arrangement of homologous sequences between *Trillium kamtschaticum* and tobacco *cp*DNAs. *T. kamtschaticum cp*DNA fragments hybridized to each tobacco probe are indicated by vertical lines. IR indicate the inverted repeats. The symbols for restriction sites are as follows: A, *Apal*, P, *Pstl*, X, *Xhol*. The top line indicates the position of tobacco probes, each of which consists of several small clones, used for RFLP analysis of 24 *Trillium* species.

results of these studies have shown that analysis of variation in the restriction enzyme cleavage sites of *cp*DNA is a powerful tool for the elucidation of phylogenetic relationships in flowering plants, particularly among congeneric species and closely related genera (Palmer et al., 1988).

The results obtained in this study indicate that the chloroplast genome of *T. kamtschaticum* is similar in size and structure to the chloroplast genomes of tobacco (Shinozaki et al., 1986), yam (Terauchi et al., 1989), daffodil (Hansmann, 1987), onion (Chase and Palmer, 1989; Katayama et al., 1991), asparagus (Chase and Palmer, 1989), *Narcissus* (Chase and Palmer, 1989), *Narcissus* (Chase and Palmer, 1989), *Narcissus* (Chase and Palmer, 1989). The arrangement of *T. kamtschaticum cp*DNA was colinear with that of tobacco (Fig. 5). The gene order in tobacco *cp*DNA is the most common order in angiosperms so far examined (Palmer et al., 1988). In contrast to this common order, three major inversions have been found in the large single copy region of *cp*DNAs of various graminaceous plants: *Oryza sativa* (Hirai et al.,

1985; Kanno and Hirai, 1992; Kanno et al., 1993), *Triticum aestivum* (Quigley and Weil, 1985; Howe et al., 1988) and *Zea mays* (Palmer and Thompson, 1982). These inversions are lacking in the other monocotyledonous plants as mentioned above (Chase and Palmer, 1989; Katayama et al., 1991; Downie and Palmer, 1992).

Because the tobacco *cp*DNA is colinear with *Trillium cp*DNA, the heterologous tobacco probes were very effective for analyzing *Trillium cp*DNAs; individual probes did not hybridize to more than one location within the target *cp*DNAs (cf. Downie and Palmer, 1992).

2. Evolutionary Divergence within the Genus Trillium The present molecular analysis provided a very interesting but quite unexpected picture of phylogenetic relationships among *Trillium* species (Fig. 8). Pedicellate-flowered species within the genus did not form a distinct monophyletic group (Fig. 8). Most *Trillium* species (all but *T. undulatum* and *T. rivale*) examined belonged to one weakly supported clade (68% boot-



Fig. 6. Hybridization of the cloned tobacco B20 fragment as probe to the *cp*DNA of 26 individuals digested with *Pstl* (mutation no. 12 in Table 4). The number above the lane indicates DNA no. (Table 1). A 2.2 kbp fragment is lost from DNA nos. 7, 10 and 26, which had two fragments (1.2 kbp and 1.0 kbp).



Fig. 7. Hybridization of the cloned tobacco B7 fragment as probe to the *cp*DNA of 25 individuals digested with *Xho*I (mutation no. 20 in Table 4). The number above the lane indicates DNA no. (Table 1). A 10.2 kbp fragment in DNA nos. 7–26 is lost for DNA nos. 1–6. For the latters two fragments (6.8 kbp and 3.4 kbp) were instead observed. A 1.8 kbp fragment is lost from DNA no. 13, which had two fragments (1.0 kbp and 0.8 kbp).

Table 4.Chloroplast DNA restriction site mutations used in phylogenetic analyses of 25 taxa of *Trillium* and *Paris tetraphylla*. Mutations no. 1-21
are shared by two or more individuals and mutations no. 22-41 are
autapomorphic.

Mutation no.	Enzyme	Region (tobacco fragment)	Mutation (kbp)
1	Apal	Ba5,B28	50.1=30.1+20.0
2	BamHi	Bal	13.3= 8.5+ 4.8
3	Drai	B7	5.6= 3.8+ 1.8
4	Dral	Ba1	7.0= 3.7+ 3.3
5	EcoRI	B19	8.8= 4.5+ 4.3
6	EcoRV	B25-B7	7.7= 4.9+ 2.8
7	EcoRV	Ba1	10.5= 8.7+ 1.8
8	HindIII	B28-B25	10.2= 7.4+ 2.8
9	Kpnl	B20	32.0=23.5+ 8.5
10	Kpnl	Ba1	14.5= 9.5+ 5.0
11	Kpnl	B8	30.0=29.3+ 0.7
12	Pstl	B20	2.2 = 1.2 + 1.0
13	Sacl	B25	14.5=13.2+ 1.3
14	Sacl	B19	16.0=12.5+ 3.5
15	Sacl	B10	19.0=10.0+ 9.0
16	Sacl	B8	4.5= 2.8+ 1.7
17	Scal	B20	4.1= 3.1+ 1.0
18	Xbal	B19	15.5= 9.5+ 6.0
19	Xbal	Ba1	6.5= 3.4+ 3.1
20	Xhol	87	10.2 = 6.8 + 3.4
21	Xhol	B15	19.3=14.8+ 4.5
22	BamHI	Ba1	19.1=13.3+ 5.8
23	BamHI	Ba1	8.5= 4.2+ 2.5+1.8
24	BamHI	Ba1	4.8= 3.2+ 1.6
25	Bg/II	B25	7.4= 4.2+ 3.2
26	EcoRV	B25	5.9 = 3.1 + 2.8
27	EcoRV	B28	4.5 = 3.2 + 1.3
28	HindIII	B25	10.2 = 8.7 + 1.5
29	HindIII	87	20.6=11.0+ 9.6
- 30	Kpnl	B20	23.5=13.5+10.0
31	Kpnl	B19	5.0= 2.8+ 2.2
32	Kpnl	B8	7.0= 6.0+ 1.0
33	Sacl	B25	14.5=11.0+ 3.5
34	Sacl	Ba1	17.7=12.5+ 5.2
35	Xbal	B7-B20	6.7= 4.4+ 1.2
36	Xbal	B20	17.7=12.8+ 4.9
37	Xbal	B19	15.5=14.3+ 1.2
38	Xbal	B29-B22	6.0 = 3.5 + 2.5
39	Xhol	B7	1.8= 1.0+ 0.8
40	Xhol	B15	4.5 = 3.1 + 1.4
41	Xhol	B10	14.8= 9.9+ 4.9

strap value), consisting of members of the pedicellateflowered species and all of the sessile-flowered species, with *Paris tetraphylla* as an outgroup. It was rather unexpected that *T. undulatum* and *T. rivale* would be paraphyletic to the above mentioned large clade. The pedicellate-flowered species, *T. gran*-

 Table 5.
 Data matrix representing 41 cpDNA restriction site mutations in Trillium and Paris tetraphylla. Presence and absence of restriction sites are indicated by "1" and "0", respectively.

	Taxon		M	utation no.		
no.		1 1234567890	1111111112 1234567890	2222222223 1234567890	33333333334 1234567890	4 1
1.	Trillium kamtschaticum	0111010000	101000001	1100010010	1000100000	0
2.	T. erectum var. erectum	0101010100	1010001011	1101010010	1000100000	0
з.	T. erectum var. album	0101010100	1010001011	1100010010	1000100000	0
4.	T. sulcatum	0101010100	1010001011	1100010010	1000100000	0
5.	T. cernuum	0111010100	1010001011	1100010010	1000100000	0
6.	T. rugelii	0111010100	1010001011	1100010010	1000100000	0
7.	T. undulatum	1110010010	1100000000	1100010011	1000110000	0
8.	T. grandiflorum	1110010010	100000100	1110001010	0100100000	1
9.	T. ovatum	1110010010	1000010100	1100110110	1001100000	0
10.	T. rivale	1110010010	1100000010	1100010010	100000000	0
11.	T. recurvatum	1010100011	0001010100	1000010010	1000100000	0
12.	T. lancifolium	1010100011	0001010000	1100010010	1000100000	0
13.	T. sessile	1010100010	0001010100	1100010010	1010100010	0
14.	T. decipiens	1010110010	0001110100	1100010010	1000100001	0
15a,b	T. reliquum	1010110010	0001110100	1100010010	1000100100	0
16.	T. stamineum	1010100010	0001010100	1100010000	1000100000	0
17.	T. maculatum	1010100010	0001010100	0100010010	1000100000	0
18.	T. foetidissimum	1010100010	0001010100	0100010010	1000100000	0
19.	T. luteum	1010100010	0001010100	0100010010	1000100000	0
20.	T. viride	1010100010	0001010100	0100010010	1000100000	0
21.	T. viridescens	1010100010	0001010100	0100010010	1000100000	0
22.	T. petiolatum	1010100010	0001010100	1100010010	1000100000	0
23.	T. albidum	1010100010	0001010100	1100010010	1000100000	0
24.	T. chloropetalum	1010100010	0001010100	1100010010	1000100000	0
25.	T. kurabayashii	1010100010	0001010100	1100010010	1000100000	0
26.	Paris tetraphylla	1110011010	1100000010	1100010010	1000101000	0

diflorum and *T. ovatum*, are paraphyletic to the whole sessile-flowered species, and each of the two species has many autapomorphic site changes. It is clear that the sessile-flowered species form a monophyletic group within the genus. This grouping was supported by a very high bootstrap value (99%, Fig. 8). Thus, there is good molecular support for recognizing subgenus *Phyllantherum* (the sessile-flowered species) as a distinct subgrouping.

Berg (1958) suggested that the sessile-flowered species (subgenus *Phyllantherum*) are monophyletic because of their fruit and pollen structure, and also other morphological similarities. It is also noteworthy that there is little *cp*DNA variation among the sessile-flowered species, indicating their higher affinities. In contrast, there is much higher *cp*DNA variation among pedicellate-flowered species, suggesting their polyphyletic origins, just as seen in the cladistic analyses of gross morphological characters (Kawano and Kato, in preparation).

We have recently sequenced the chloroplast rbcL

gene from a wide range of species and genera in the Trilliaceae (Kato et al., in press). The *rbc*L gene is highly conserved in plants generally, and we were unable to resolve the phylogeny of species within the subgenera *Trillium* and *Phyllantherum*. The genera surveyed were *Trillium*, *Paris*, *Daiswa*, and *Kinugasa*; which represent the Trilliaceae *sensu* Dahlgren et al. (1985). From the *rbc*L data, it was evident that these four genera are very closely related phylogenetically, although their exact phylogeny was unable to be determined.

From comparisons of pollen morphology, Takahashi (1982) suggested that the sessile-flowered species are closely related to the pedicellate-flowered species, *T. erectum* and *T. cernuum*. All of these species have granulate pollen grains (with the exception of *T. petiolatum* which has corrugate-type pollen). Our *cp*DNA data, however, indicated that the closest relatives of the sessile-flowered species are the pedicellate-flowered species, *T. ovatum* and *T. grandiflorum* (Fig. 8). These two species have spinulate pollen grains (Takahashi, 1982) and distinct life history traits among



Fig. 8. Wagner parsimony tree constructed by the PAUP computer program. Consistency index (CI)= 0.913; retention index (RI)=0.983, both statistics exclude autapomorphies. Site changes and confidence values (%) for each nodes from bootstrap analysis (100 replications) are shown. Black bars represent the site changes shared by two or more DNAs. Open bars represent homoplastic site changes. Grey bars represent autapomorphic site changes. Geographical distributions and selected morphological characters are noted. Abbreviations: distribution (J, Japan; E, eastern North America; W, western North America), pedicel (P, pedicellate-erect; PD, pedicellate-declinate; S, sessile), fruit (B, berry; C, capsule), pollen (C, corrugate; G, granulate; V, verrucate; S, spinulate; GE, gemmate). Morphological data from Berg (1958), Smejima and Samejima (1987) and Takahashi (1982, 1983, 1984).

the pedicellate-flowered species (Kawano et al., 1992).

Among other pedicellate-flowered species, the species, T. erectum, T. sulcatum, T. cernuum, T. rugelii (all from eastern North America) and T. kamtschaticum (from East Asia), form a very well supported clade (Fig. 8). Utech (1980), and Samejima and Samejima (1987) have suggested that pedicellate-flowered Trillium species in North America can be divided into two subgroups within subgenus Trillium; the Grandiflorum subgroup with about eight species including T. grandiflorum, T. ovatum, T. undulatum and T. rivale, and the Erectum subgroup with about six species including T. erectum, T. sulcatum, T. cernuum and T. rugelii. The present cpDNA analysis supports the monophyly of the Erectum subgroup, but it shows that the Grandiflorum subgroup is heterogeneous and does not form a single clade.

In view of all available data, including the results of cladistic analyses based on morphological characters (Kawano and Kato, in preparation), the pedicellate-flow-

ered group can be divided into at least two major subgroups, i.e., the Erectum subgroup (*T. erectum, T. sulcatum, T. cernuum, T. flexipes, T. rugelii, T. vaseyi, T. catesbaei, T. grandiflorum, and T. ovatum)* and the Undulatum subgroup (*T. undulatum, T. persistens, T. nivale, T. rivale, and T. pusillum)*, which is more or less concordant with the results of the present molecular analysis.

3. The Relationships between Eastern Asian and North American Pedicellate-flowered Species

From the chloroplast DNA phylogeny in Fig. 8, the East Asian diploid species, *T. kamtschaticum*, appears to have an affinity to the Erectum subgroup, as was noted by Utech (1980) based on gross morphological similarities. Haga and Channell (1982) reported that North American species of *Trillium* can be classified into three compatibility groups according to their ability to crossbreed with *T. kamtschaticum*: (1) compatible pedicellate-flowered species (*T. erectum*, *T. gleasonii=T*. *flexipes* and *T. vaseyi*) (2) incompatible pedicellateflowered species (*T. grandiflorum*) (3) incompatible sessile-flowered species (*T. decumbens, T. luteum, T. sessile* and *T. stamineum*). *T. kamtschaticum* has retained its compatibility with members of the Erectum subgroup, despite the long isolation indicated by the disjunct distributions of *T. kamtschaticum* and the North American subgroup.

From comparisons of pollen morphology, Takahashi (1982, 1983, 1984) concluded that Asiatic species of *Trillium* (the diploid *T. kamtschaticum*, and tetraploid *T. apetalon*, *T. tschonoskii*, hexaploid *T. smallii*, and so on), excluding *T. govanianum*, are closely related to each other and the North American *T. erectum*. Our preliminary RFLP analyses of chloroplast DNA among several Asian species (*T. kamtschaticum*, $2 \times ;$ *T. apetalon*, *T. tschonoskii*, $4 \times ;$ *T. smallii*, $6 \times ;$ and *T. hagae*, $3 \times , 6 \times$) (Samejima and Samejima, 1962, 1987) indicated that the *cp*DNA of these species are all similar to *T. kamtschaticum* (the data not shown here).

A more thorough discussion concerning evolutionary divergence and phylogeny of the genus *Trillium* and related genera in the Trilliaceae will be made in a forthcoming paper, compiling the results of cladistic analysis based on gross morphology and comparative life histories (Kawano et al., 1992; Kawano and Kato, in preparation).

Acknowledgements The present study was financially supported by Grant-in-Aid No. 01041055 and No. 05041090 from the Monbusho International Scientific Research Program, Japanese Government to Shoichi Kawano (corresponding author), for which we are most grateful. Our cordial thanks are also due to Prof. M. Sugiura of Nagova University for providing the cloned tobacco cpDNA. We are also most grateful to Prof. Atsushi Hirai of the University of Tokyo (formerly of Nagoya University), Dr. A. Kanno of the Institute of Genetic Ecology, Tohoku University (formerly of Nagoya University). and Dr. M. Hasebe of the University of Tokyo for their kind technical advice. Collection of materials in North America was also assisted by M.N. Tamura, A. Hiratsuka, H. Takasu, and H. Kudoh, to whom we extend our thanks. Thanks are also due to two anonymous referees for their constructive criticisms, by which the present paper was much improved.

References

- Axelrod, D.I. 1966. Origin of deciduous and evergreen habitats in temperate forests. Evolution 20: 1–15.
- Brears, T., Schardl, C.L. and Lonsdale, D.M. 1986. Chloroplast genome organization in sugar beet and maize. Plant Mol. Biol. 6: 171–177.
- Berg, R.Y. 1958. Seed dispersal, morphology, and phylogeny of *Trillium*. Skr. Nor. Vidensk Akad. Oslo 1: 1– 36.
- Chase, M.W. and Palmer, J.D. 1989. Chloroplast DNA systematics of Lilioid monocots: resources, feasibility, and an example from the Orchidaceae. Am. J. Bot. 76: 1720–

1730.

- Dahlgren, R.M.T., Clifford, H.T. and Yeo, P.F. 1985. The Families of the Monocotyledons. 520 pp. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Dyer, A.F. 1964a. Heterochromatin in American and Japanese species of *Trillium*. I. Fusion of chromocentres and the distribution of H-segments. Cytologia 29: 155– 170.
- . 1964b. Heterochromatin in American and Japanese species of *Trillium* II. The behaviour of H-segments. Cytologia **29**: 171–190.
- ———. 1964c. Heterochromatin in American and Japanese species of *Trillium*. III. Chiasma frequency and distribution and the effect on it of heterochromatin. Cytologia 29: 263–279.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution **39**: 783–791.
- Freeman, J.D. 1975. Revision of *Trillium* subgenus *Phyllantherum* (Liliaceae). Brittonia **27**: 1–62.
- Fukuda, I. and Channel, R.B. 1975. Distribution and evolutionary significance of chromosome variation in *Trillium* ovatum. Evolution 29: 257–266.
- and Grant, W.F. 1980. Chromosome variation and evolution in *Trillium grandiflorum*. Can. J. Genet. Cytol. 22: 81–91.
- Gray, A. 1846. Analogy between the flora of Japan and that of the United States. Am. J. Sci. & Arts. II. 2: 135–136.
- . 1860. Illustrations of botany of Japan and its relation to that of central and northern Asia, Europe, and North America. Proc. Am. Arts & Sci. 4: 131–135.
- Graham, A. (ed.). 1972. Floristics and Paleofloristics of Asia and Eastern North America. Elsevier Publ. Co., Amsterdam.
- Haga, T. and Channell, R.B. 1982. Three species groups in American *Trillium* as revealed by compatibility with an Asian species. Bot. Mag. Tokyo **95**: 77–80.
- and Kurabayashi, M. 1954. Genom and polyploidy in the genus *Trillium*. V. Chromosomal variation in natural populations of *Trillium kamtschaticum* Pall. Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser.E. Biol. 1: 159–185.
- Hansmann, P. 1987. Daffodil chromoplast DNA: comparison with chloroplast DNA, physical map, and gene localization. Z. Naturforsch. 42c: 118–122.
- Hirai, A., Ishibashi, T., Morikami, N., Iwatsuki, N., Shinozaki, K. and Sugiura, M. 1985. Rice chloroplast DNA: a physical map and the location of the genus for the large subunit of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase and the 32KD photosystem II reaction center protein. Theor. Appl. Genet. 70: 117–122.
- Howe, C.J., Baker, R.F., Bowman, C.M. and Dyer, T.A. 1988. Common features of three inversions in wheat chloroplast DNA. Curr. Genet. 13: 343–349.
- Ihara, M. and Ihara, K. 1978. A biosystematic study on the pedicellate-flowered species of North American *Trillium*. (1) Geographical distribution of major groups and their gynoecium norms. J. Geobot. 25: 139–172.
- Kanno, A. and Hirai, A. 1992. Comparative studies of the structure of chloroplast DNA from four species of *Oryza*: cloning and physical maps. Theor. Appl. Genet. 83: 791– 798.
- ———, Watanabe, N., Nakamura, I. and Hirai, A. 1993. Variations in chloroplast DNA from rice (*Oryza sativa*): differences between deletions mediated by short direct-repeat se-

quences within a single species, Theor. Appl. Genet. 86: 579-584.

- Katayama, H., Sasakuma, T. and Ogihara, Y. 1991. Physical map of chloroplast DNA of the onion Allium cepa L., showing the location of photosynthesis-related genes. Jpn. J. Genet. 66: 421–431.
- Kato, H., Terauchi, R., Utech, F.H. and Kawano, S. Molecular systematics of the Trilliaceae sensu lato as inferred from rbcL sequencing data. Mol. Phylog. Evol. (in press).
- Kawano, S. and Kato, H. 1995. Evolutionary biology of *Trillium* and related genera (Trilliaceae) II. Cladistic analyses on gross morphological characters, and phylogeny and evolution of the genus *Trillium*. Plant Species Biol. (submitted).
 - -----, Ohara, M. and Utech, F.H. 1986. Life history studies on the genus *Trillium* (Liliaceae) II. Reproductive biology and survivorship of four eastern North American species. Plant Species Biol. 1: 47–58.
- on the genus *Trillium* (Liliaceae) VI. Life history studies tics of three western North American species and their evolutionary-ecological implications. Plant Species Biol. 7: 21–36.
- Krause, K. 1930. Liliaceae. In: Engler, A. and Prantl, K. (eds.), Die Natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien 2 (15a), 227–386. Leipzig, Engelman.
- Kurabayashi, M. 1958. Evolution and variation in Japanese species of *Trillium*. Evolution 12: 286–310.
- Li, H.-L. 1952. Floristic relationships between Eastern Asia and Eastern North America. Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. 42: 371– 429.
- Ohara, M. 1989. Life history evolution in the genus *Trillium*. Plant Species Biol. 4: 1–28.

and Kawano, S. 1986a. Life history studies on the genus *Trillium* (Liliaceae) I. Reproductive biology of four Japanese species. Plant Species Biol. 1: 35–45.

- and Utech, F.H. 1986. Life history studies on the genus *Trillium* (Liliaceae). III. Reproductive biology of six sessile-flowered species occurring in the southeastern United States with special reference to vegetative reproduction. Plant Species Biol. 1: 135–145.
- and ———, 1988. Life history studies on the genus *Trillium* (Liliaceae) V. Reproductive biology and survivorship of three declinate-flowered species. Plant Species Biol. **3**: 35–41.
- Quigley, F. and Weil, J.H. 1985. Organization and sequence of five tRNA genes and of an unidentified reading frame in the wheat chloroplast genome: evidence for gene rear-

Received December 22, 1994. Accepted March 20, 1995.

rangements during the evolution of chloroplast genomes. Curr. Genet. 9: 495-503.

- Palmer, J.D., Jansen, R.K., Micaels, H.J., Chase, M.W. and Manhart, J.R. 1988. Chloroplast DNA variation and plant phylogeny. Ann. Miss. Bot. Gard. 75: 1180–1206.
- and Thompson, W.F. 1982. Chloroplast DNA rearrangements are more frequent when a large inverted sequence is lost. Cell **29**: 537–550.
- Samejima, J. and Samejima, K. 1962. Studies on the Eastern Asiatic *Trillium* (Liliaceae). Acta Horti Gotoburg 25: 157– 257.
- Samejima, K. and Samejima, J. 1987. *Trillium* genus. 238 pp. Hokkaido Univ. Press, Sapporo.
- Shinozaki, K., Ohme, M., Tanaka, M., Wakasugi, T., Hayashida, N., Matsubayashi, T., Zaita, N., Chunwongse, J., Obokata, J., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., Ohto, C., Torazawa, K., Meng, B.Y., Sugita, M., Deno, H., Kamogashira, T., Yamada, K., Kusuda, J., Takaiwa, F., Kato, A., Tohdoh, N., Shimada, H. and Sugiura, M. 1986. The complete nucleotide sequence of the tobacco chloroplast genome: its gene organization and expression. EMBO J. 5: 2043–2049.
- Shinwari, Z.K., Terauchi, R. and Kawano, S. 1994. Molecular systematics of Liliaceae-Asparagoideae-Polygonatae. I. RFLP analysis of *cp*DNA in several Asiatic *Disporum* species. Plant Species Biol. 9: 11–18.
- Soltis, P.S., Soltis, D.E. and Doyle, J.J. (eds.) 1992. Molecular systematics of plants. Chapman and Hall, N.Y. & London.
- Sugiura, M., Shinozaki, K., Zaita, N., Kusuda, M. and Kumano, M. 1986. Clone bank of the tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) chloroplast genome as a set of overlapping restriction endonuclease fragments: mapping of eleven ribosomal protein genes. Plant Science 44: 211–216.
- Swofford, D. 1993. PAUP: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony, ver. 3.1.1 Computer program distributed by the Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, IL USA.
- Takahashi, M. 1982. Pollen morphology in North American species of *Trillium*. Am. J. Bot. **69**: 1185–1195.
 - ———, 1983. Pollen morphology in Asiatic species of *Trillium*. Bot. Mag. Tokyo **96**: 377–384.
- genera. Bot, Mag. Tokyo 97: 233–245.
- Terauchi, R., Terachi, T. and Tsunewaki, K. 1989. Physical map of chloroplast DNA of aerial yam, *Dioscorea bulbifera* L. Theor. Appl. Genet. **78**, 1–10.
- Tiffney, B.H. 1985. Perspective on the origin of the floristic similarity between eastern Asia and eastern North America. J. Arnord Arbor. 66: 73–94.
- Utech, F.H. 1980. Chromosome atlas of the vascular plants of western Pennsylvania, I. Ann. Carnegie Mus. **49**: 265– 305.

e aludy afters were asternor in the properties tests of widerstory of Anex standards intern dividioned of this sea neglet of Hamplencoard, see (i) the area of plant of the diagonal form tooth in forming they areas Restance. Two Cathorners internets in This document is a scanned copy of a printed document. No warranty is given about the accuracy of the copy. Users should refer to the original published version of the material.